1	ROB BONTA					
2	Attorney General of California KAREN R. DENVIR					
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General MEGAN M. BRIGHT					
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 347794					
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255					
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-7893					
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 E-mail: Megan.Bright@doj.ca.gov					
8	Attorneys for Complainant					
9	BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS					
10	FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
11	STATE OF CA	ALII ORUA				
12	In the Matter of the Accusation and Petition to	Case No. 79/24-9673				
13	Revoke Probation Against:					
14	DAVIS TEST ONLY SMOG TESTING, DBA CITRUS HEIGHTS STAR SMOG	ACCUSATION AND PETITION TO				
15	RONALD WALLINE, PRESIDENT 8420 Auburn Blvd. B	REVOKE PROBATION				
16	Citrus Heights, CA 95610					
17	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No.					
18	ARD 263636					
19	Smog Check Test and Repair Station License No. RC 263636					
20	Respondent.					
21						
22	<u>PARTIES</u>					
23	Patrick Dorais (Complainant) brings t	his Accusation and Petition to Revoke				
24	Probation solely in his official capacity as the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair					
25	(Bureau), Department of Consumer Affairs.					
26						
27	///					
28		1				
		1				

27

28

8. Pursuant to a Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, in a disciplinary action entitled, "In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Davis Test Only Smog Testing, dba Citrus

Heights Star Smog, Daniel McGarry, aka Daniel Lawrence McGarry, Case No. 77/17-18174," the Bureau adopted a Decision and Order effective July 14, 2022, wherein Respondent C.H. Smog's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD 263636 and Smog Check Test and Repair Station License Number RC 263636 were revoked. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent C.H. Smog was placed on probation for a period of three years under terms and conditions. (Exhibit A) The Decision and Order was based on the following: On or about June 20, 2017, Respondent McGarry was found guilty by a jury of violating Penal Code section 245, subdivision (a)(4) (assault by means of force likely to produce great bodily injury), a felony. The circumstances of the crime were that on or about July 12, 2014, Respondent McGarry assaulted a customer at Respondent C.H. Smog facility following a dispute over payment for a failed smog inspection.

JURISDICTION

- 9. This Accusation is brought before the Director of the Department of Consumer Affairs (Director) for the Bureau, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 10. Code section 9884.7 provides, in pertinent part, that the Director may revoke an automotive repair dealer registration.
- 11. Code section 9884.13 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a valid registration shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision temporarily or permanently invalidating (suspending or revoking) a registration.
- 12. Code section 118, subdivision (b), provides that suspension, expiration, surrender, or cancellation of a license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary action during the period within which the license may be renewed, restored, reissued or reinstated.
- 13. Code section 477 provides, in pertinent part, that "Board" includes "bureau," "commission," "committee," "department," "division," "examining committee," "program," and

¹ Due to expire on July 14, 2025.

1	
1 2 3	(c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may suspend, revoke, or place on probation, the registration for all places of business operated in this state by an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations adopted pursuant to it.
4	18. Code section 9884.8 states:
5	All work done by an automotive repair dealer, including all warranty work,
6	shall be recorded on an invoice and shall describe all service work done and parts supplied. Service work and parts shall be listed separately on the invoice, which shall
7	also state separately the subtotal prices for service work and for parts, not including sales tax, and shall state separately the sales tax, if any, applicable to each. If any used, rebuilt, or reconditioned parts are supplied, the invoice shall clearly state that
8	fact. If a part of a component system is composed of new and used, rebuilt or reconditioned parts, that invoice shall clearly state that fact. The invoice shall include
9	a statement indicating whether any crash parts are original equipment manufacturer crash parts or nonoriginal equipment manufacturer aftermarket crash parts. One copy
10	of the invoice shall be given to the customer and one copy shall be retained by the automotive repair dealer.
11	and the second s
12	19. Health and Safety Code section 44072.2 states, in pertinent part:
13 14	The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license as provided in this article if the licensee, or any partner, officer, or director thereof, does any of the following:
15	
16	(d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is
17	injured.
18	REGULATORY PROVISIONS
19	20. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.35 states, in pertinent part:
20	••••
21	(c) A licensed station shall issue a certificate of compliance or noncompliance to the owner or operator of any vehicle that has been inspected in accordance with the
22	procedures specified in section 3340.42 of this article and has all the required emission control equipment and devices installed and functioning correctly. The
23	following conditions shall apply:
24	(1) Customers shall be charged the same price for certificates as that paid by the licensed station; and
25	(2) Sales tax shall not be assessed on the price of certificate.
26	COST RECOVERY
27	21. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the
28	administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of

the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

- 22. On or about May 13, 2024, a Bureau representative (representative) performed a station inspection at Respondent C.H. Smog's facility. During the inspection, the representative requested a copy of 12 invoices to review. A sign posted inside the facility stated, "Any vehicle passing the smog inspection must pay \$8.25 at the conclusion of the inspection. This is paid to the State of California and collected by us." The representative reviewed the 12 invoices provided by Respondent C.H. Smog and found that it was charging consumers a \$1.75 transfer fee and \$8.25 for a certificate of compliance when vehicles failed a smog check inspection.
- 23. On or about May 20, 2024, a representative held a probation conference with Respondent R. Walline and his attorney Linda Foster. During the probation conference, Respondent C.H. Smog agreed to remove the \$1.75 transfer fee and the \$8.25 charge for a certificate of compliance when a vehicle fails a smog check inspection.
- 24. On or about August 15, 2024, a representative conducted a field visit at Respondent C.H. Smog's facility and requested invoices and inspection reports that were performed after May 23, 2024.
- 25. On or about August 23, 2024, a representative made a field visit to Respondent C.H. Smog's facility and spoke with Respondent R. Walline. Respondent R. Walline provided the representative with the invoices and inspection reports that he had requested during the August 15, 2024, field visit. The representative reviewed the invoices and found eight invoices wherein consumers were charged \$8.25 for a smog certificate when the vehicle did not pass the smog inspection, as more particularly set forth below:

Year	Make	Lic. Plate	VIN
2012	Honda	6WME804	2HGFB2F80CH528104
2019	Ford	02397U2	1FT7W2BT6KED94299

1 2 3 4	2 2005 Dodge 09461R1 2024 Chevrolet 3 2012 Dodge 7ASZ953 2007 Toyota 8GJF150	JT4RN02P4L7001876 1NXBR32E84Z193081 1D7HU18D35S143496 1GB4YSEY3RF337796 2C3CDXCT6CH120535 JTKDE167670152736				
5		<u>UNDERCOVER OPERATION NO. 1 – CHEVROLET</u>				
6	26. On or about May 23, 2024, a Bureau undercover operator (operator) drove a					
7	7 Chevrolet to Respondent C.H. Smog's faci	Chevrolet to Respondent C.H. Smog's facility and requested a smog inspection. The operator was				
8	8 greeted by technician D.R. D.R. had the o	greeted by technician D.R. D.R. had the operator complete information on the estimate and told				
9	him that the inspection cost \$79.99. The operator gave D.R. \$80 in cash and was provided with a					
10	0 copy of the estimate. D.R. began the inspe	ction. D.R. told the operator that the vehicle would not				
11	1 pass the smog inspection because the EGR	2 was missing. Respondent C.H. Smog charged the				
12	2 operator \$8.25 for a smog certificate that v	operator \$8.25 for a smog certificate that was not issued.				
13	3 FIRST CAL	USE FOR DISCIPLINE				
14	4 (Untrue or	Misleading Statements)				
15	5 Respondent C.H. Smog's regis	stration is subject to discipline under Code section				
16	6 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that, Respond	dent C.H. Smog made or authorized statements which it				
17	7 knew or in the exercise of reasonable care	should have known to be untrue or misleading, as				
18	8 follows:					
19	9 a. Respondent C.H. Smog	charged consumers a fee of \$8.25 for smog certificates				
20	that were not issued due to the vehicle fails	ing the smog inspection, as more particularly set forth				
21	above in paragraphs 21 through 26.					
22	b. Respondent C.H. Smog	charged consumers a separate fee on the invoices for				
23	electronic communications with the smog	check database, as more particularly set for the above				
24	in paragraphs 21 and 22.					
25	25 ///					
26	26 ///					
27	27 ///					
28	28 Exhaust Gas Recirculation	_				

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Fraudulent Acts)

- 28. Respondent C.H. Smog's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that, it committed acts which constitute fraud, as follows:
- a. Respondent C.H. Smog charged consumers a fee of \$8.25 for smog certificates that were not issued due to the vehicle failing the smog inspection, as more particularly set forth above in paragraphs 21 through 26.
- b. Respondent C.H. Smog charged consumers a separate fee on the invoices for electronic communications with the smog check database, as more particularly set for the above in paragraphs 21 and 22.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Provisions of the Automotive Repair Act)

- 29. Respondent C.H. Smog's registration is subject to discipline under Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that, it failed to comply with the provisions of that Code, in the following material respects:
- a. <u>Section 9884.8</u>: Respondent C.H. Smog charged consumers separately on invoices for an electronic transfer fee related to electronic communications with the smog check database, as more particularly set forth above in paragraphs 21 and 22.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations)

- 30. Respondent C.H. Smog's registration is subject to discipline pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that, it failed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, in the following material respects:
- a. <u>Section 3340.35:</u> Respondent C.H. Smog charged consumers for smog certificates when vehicles failed a smog check inspection, and when Respondent C.H. Smog is not charged a certificate fee. Therefore, Respondent C.H. Smog cannot charge a fee to the consumer when the consumer did not receive a smog certificate, as more particularly set forth above in paragraphs 21 and 22.

Ì					
1	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE				
2	(Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)				
3	31. Respondent C.H. Smog's station license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to				
4	Health and Safety Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that Respondent C.H. Smog				
5	committed dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful acts whereby another was injured, as more				
6	particularly set forth above in paragraphs 21 through 26.				
7	PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION				
8	32. At all times after the effective date of Respondent C.H. Smog's probation, Condition				
9	7 stated:				
10	If Respondent violates or fails to comply with the terms and conditions of				
11	decision. Once Respondent is served notice of BAR's intent to set aside the stay, the Director shall maintain jurisdiction, and the period of probation shall be extended				
12					
13	until final resolution of the matter.				
14	33. Respondent C.H. Smog's probation is subject to revocation, in that it violated				
15	probation as set forth below:				
16	FIRST CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION				
17	(Failure to Obey All Laws)				
18	34. At all times after the effective date of Respondent C.H. Smog's probation, Condition				
19	1 stated:				
20	During the period of probation, Respondent shall comply with all federal and				
21	state statutes, regulations and rules governing all BAR registrations and licensed held by Respondent.				
22	35. Respondent C.H. Smog's probation is subject to revocation by failing to comply with				
23	Condition 1, in that it violated federal and state statutes, regulations and rules governing all BAR				
24	registrations and licenses held by Respondent C.H. Smog, as more particularly set forth above in				
25	paragraphs 21 through 27.				
26	MATTERS IN AGGRAVATION				
27	36. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent Davis				
28	Test Only Smog Testing, Complainant alleges as follows:				
	\mathbf{g}				

37. On or about May 2, 2013, the Bureau issued Citation No. C2013-0638 against	
Respondent, doing business as Citrus Heights Star Smog, for violating Health & Safety Code	
section 44012, subdivision (f). On or about March 20, 2013, Respondent issued a certificate of	
compliance to a Bureau undercover vehicle with a disconnected air injection reactor (AIR) pump).
The Bureau assessed a civil penalty of \$1,000 against Respondent for the violation. Respondent	
appealed the citation on July 10, 2013. On July 22, 2014, Respondent filed a Writ of Mandate ar	ıd
Request for Stay. On July 24, 2014, the writ/stay was denied and the citation became final.	
Respondent paid the fine on September 9, 2014.	

38. On or about March 7, 2017, the Bureau issued Citation No. C2017-585 against Respondent, doing business as North Beale Star Smog, for violating Health & Safety Code section 44012. On or about January 23, 2017, Respondent issued a certificate of compliance to a Bureau undercover vehicle with missing or modified Evaporative Emissions System components. The Bureau assessed a civil penalty of \$1,000 against Respondent for the violation. The citation became effective on June 16, 2017.

OTHER MATTERS

- 39. Pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), the director may suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registrations for all places of business operated in this state by Davis Test Only Smog Testing, upon a finding that they have or are engaged in a course of repeated and willful violation of the laws and regulations pertaining to an automotive repair dealer.
- 40. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check Test and Repair Station License Number RC 263636, issued to Davis Test Only Smog Testing, doing business as Citrus Heights Star Smog, is revoked or suspended, any additional license issued under Health and Safety Code, Division 26, Part 5, Chapter 5 in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision: