				1 -
			· · · · ·	<u>ب</u> '
		· .		
	1	XAVIER BECERRA		· :
	2	Attorney General of California THOMAS L. RINALDI	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
•	3	HEATHER VO Deputy Attorney General		
	4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 223418 300 So. Spring Street Suite 1702		1
	5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
	6 ·	Telephone: (213) 269-6317 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804		
	7.	Attorneys for Complainant		
		BEFOR	ЕТНЕ	
	8	DEPARTMENT OF C FOR THE BUREAU OF A	ONSUMER AFFAIRS	
	9	STATE OF C	ALIFORNIA	
	10	·	_	
	11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 79/17-15899	
	12			
	13	J&A SMOG CHECK, MARMOLEJO ANDRES MARTINEZ,		t
	Ì	Owner 715 S. Witmer St.	ACCUSATION	
	14	Los Angeles, CA 90017		
	15	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 287686		
	16	Smog Check, Test Only, Station License No.		
	17	TC 287686		
	18	And		
		MARCO AURELIO GONZALEZ RAMOS		:
	19	4006 South Trinity Los Angeles, CA 90037		
	20	Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 638985		
	21			
,	22	Respondents.	· · ·	· .
	23	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·	
	24	Complement allocare		,
		Complainant alleges:		
	25	PART	· · · ·	
•	26	1. Patrick Dorais (Complainant) brings t	his Accusation solely in his official capacity as	
	27	the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, De	-	
	28			
				:
		Rest	l lejo Andres Martinez, and Marco Aurelio Gonzalez Rame	:

Automotive Repair Dealer Registration

1

8

15

21

2 On or about June 22, 2017, the Bureau issued Automotive Repair Dealer Registration
 3 No. ARD 287686 to Respondent Marmolejo Andres Martinez, Owner, dba J&A Smog Check.
 4 The Automotive Repair Dealer Registration was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the
 5 charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2018, unless renewed. However, ARD 287686
 6 was suspended on April 11, 2018 as a result of an Interim Suspension Order granted after hearing
 7 on April 6, 2018.

Smog Check, Test Only, Station

3. On or about July 14, 2017, the Bureau issued Smog Check, Test Only, Station
License No. TC 287686 to Respondent Marmolejo Andres Martinez, Owner, dba J&A Smog
Check. The Smog Check, Test Only, Station License was in full force and effect at all times
relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on June 30, 2018, unless renewed.
However, TC 287686 was suspended on April 11, 2018 as a result of an Interim Suspension
Order granted after hearing on April 6, 2018.

Smog Check Inspector

4. On or about February 10, 2016, the Bureau issued Smog Check Inspector License No.
 EO 638985 to Respondent Marco Aurelio Gonzalez Ramos. The Smog Check Inspector License
 was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on
 January 31, 2020, unless renewed. However, EO 638985 was suspended on April 11, 2018 as a
 result of an Interim Suspension Order granted after hearing on April 6, 2018.

JURISDICTION

5. This Accusation is brought before the Director of the Department of Consumer
Affairs (Director) for the Bureau of Automotive Repair, under the authority of the following laws.
6. Business and Professions Code ("Bus. & Prof. Code") section 9884.7 provides that
the Director may rovoke an automotive repair dealer registration.

7. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.13 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a
valid registration shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary

28

Accusation Against J&A Smog Check, Marmolejo Andres Martinez, and Marco Aurelio Gonzalez Ramos

proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision temporarily or permanently 1 invalidating (suspending or revoking) a registration, $\mathbf{2}$ Health and Safety Code ("Health & Saf. Code") section 44002 provides, in pertinent 8. 3 part, that the Director has all the powers and authority granted under the Automotive Repair Act 4 for enforcing the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. 5 Health & Saf. Code section 44072.6 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration or 9. 6 suspension of a license by operation of law, or by order or decision of the Director of Consumer 7 8 Affairs, or a court of law, or the voluntary surrender of the license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with disciplinary action. 9 STATUTORY PROVISIONS 10 10. Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7 states, in pertinent part: 11 12 (a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may refuse to validate, or may invalidate temporarily or 13 permanently, the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair .14 dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer. 15 (1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any 16 statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading. 17 18 (4) Any other conduct that constitutes fraud. .19 20(6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this 21chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to it. 2223(c) Notwithstanding subdivision (b), the director may suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by 24 an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of this chapter, or regulations 25 adopted pursuant to it. 26Bus. & Prof. Code section 477 provides, in pertinent part, that "Board" includes 11. 27 "bureau," "commission," "committee," "department," "division," "examining committee," 28Accusation Against J&A Smog Check, Marmolejo Andres Martinez, and Marco Aurelio Gonzalez Ramos "program," and "agency." "License" includes certificate, registration or other means to engage in a business or profession regulated by the Bus. & Prof. Code.

12. Section 44012 of the Health & Saf. Code provides, in pertinent part, that tests at smog check stations shall be performed in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

13. Section 44015, subdivision (b), of the Health & Saf. Code provides that a certificate
of compliance shall be issued if a vehicle meets the requirements of Health & Saf. Code section
40012,

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

. 3

4

5

б

14. Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2 states, in pertinent part:

The director may suspend, revoke, or take other disciplinary action against a license as provided in this article if the licensee, or any partner, officer, or director thereof, does any of the following:

(a) Violates any section of this chapter [the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program (Health and Saf. Code § 44000, et seq.)] and the regulations adopted pursuant to it, which related to the licensed activities.

(c) Violates any of the regulations adopted by the director pursuant to this chapter.

(d) Commits any act involving dishonesty, fraud, or deceit whereby another is injured . . .

15. Health & Saf. Code section 44072.8 states that when a license has been revoked or

18 suspended following a hearing under this article, any additional license issued under this chapter

|| in the name of the licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the director.

16. Health & Saf. Code section 44072.10 states, in pertinent part:

(c) The department shall revoke the license of any smog check technician or station licensee who fraudulently certifies vehicles or participates in the fraudulent inspection of vehicles. A fraudulent inspection includes, but is not limited to, all of the following:

(4) Intentional or willful violation of this chapter or any regulation, standard, or procedure of the department implementing this chapter . . .

REGULATORY PROVISIONS

17. California Code of Regulations (CCR), title 16, section 3340.15, subdivision (h), prohibits a licensed smog check station from subletting inspections or repairs required as part of the Smog Check Program.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

18. CCR, title 16, section 3340.24, subdivision (c), states:

"The bureau may suspend or revoke the license of or pursue other legal action against a licensee, if the licensee falsely or fraudulently issues or obtains a certificate of compliance or a certificate of noncompliance."

9 19. CCR, title 16, section 3340.30, subdivision (a), states that a licensed smog technician
10 shall at all times "[i]nspect, test and repair vehicles, as applicable, in accordance with section
11 44012 of the Health & Saf. Code, section 44035 of the Health & Saf. Code, and section 3340.42
12 of this article."

20. CCR, title 16, section 3340.35, subdivision (c), states that a licensed smog check
station "shall issue a certificate of compliance or noncompliance to the owner or operator of any
vehicle that has been inspected in accordance with the procedures specified in section 3340.42 of
this article and has all the required emission control equipment and devices installed and
functioning correctly."

21. CCR, title 16, section 3340.41, subdivision (c), states that "[n]o person shall enter
into the emissions inspection system any vehicle identification information or emission control
system identification data for any vehicle other than the one being tested. Nor shall any person
knowingly enter into the emissions inspection system any false information about the vehicle
being tested."

23 22. CCR, title 16, section 3340.42, sets forth specific emissions test methods and
24 procedures which apply to all vehicles inspected in the State of California.

COST RECOVERY

5

26 23. Bus. & Prof. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request
27 the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or

28

25

violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

VID DATA REVIEW

24. On March 9, 2015, the Bureau implemented a policy change requiring the use of 4 an On-Board Diagnostic Inspection System (OIS) in testing of 2000 model year and newer gas 5 powered vehicles 14,000 Gross Vehicle Weight Rating (GVW) and under, and 1998 and newer б diesel powered vehicles 14,000 GVW and under. The OIS Bureau Test Data lists differences in 7 Vehicle Identification Numbers (VIN) for vehicles that have received smog inspections, in 8 addition to communication protocol (the language used to communicate) and Parameter ID (PID) 9 differences with vehicles that have been certified correctly that are the same make and model 10 vehicles. 11

12 25. On or about September 26, 2017, Bureau representative Ian Evans initiated an investigation in which he reviewed OIS test data for J&A Smog Check. Representative Evans' 13 investigation revealed that the data related to certain vehicles certified by J&A Smog Check 14 contained a pattern of unmistakable discrepancies between the information transmitted during the 15 inspections and documented information known about the subject vehicles. Specifically, 16 representative Evans compared the data received from the certified vehicles to data from vehicles 17 of the same year, make, and model and determined that the data from at least ten (10) of the 18 certified vehicles contained the following unmistakable discrepancies: 1) four of the ten 19 inspections identified in the report were certified with an unexpected eVIN; 2) the data for all 20the vehicles certified also contained different communication protocols and/or different PID 21 amounts; and 3) all of the vehicles in the report consistently reported the same Protocol of 1914 22and PID Count of 9 when this data combination was inappropriate for the vehicle allegedly being 23 tested. These documented discrepancies confirm that the vehicles receiving smog certificates 24from J&A Smog Check were fraudulently tested during the smog inspection using the "clean 25

26 27

1

2

3

28

Accusation Against J&A Smog Check, Marmolejo Andres Martinez, and Marco Aurelio Gonzalez Ramos

plugging" method, ¹ The following chart illustrates the documented clean plugging activities of
 Respondents between September 23, 2017 to September 28, 2017.

				```	
	Test Date	Vehicle Certified & License No.	Certificate No.	Technician License No.	OIS Test Data Details
					Comm. Protocol: 1914
	Vehicle #1	2002 Toyota Camry LE	Cert. HF015423C	EO 638985	(expected: matched)
_	9/23/2017	Lie.4XQJ512 ²	11:0154250	(Respondent Ramos)	PID Count: 9
					(expected: 17)
	ĩ				eVIN: Reported, But Not Expected
					Comm. Protocol: 1914
	Vehicle #2	2009 Toyota	Cort.		(expected:
		Camry Hybrid	HF015424C	EO 638985 (Respondent	ICAN11bt5)
	9/23/2017	Lic. 6VDA862 ³	•	Ramos)	PID Count: 9 (expected: 38/21)
					eVIN: Matched
	· · · ·		· · ·	· ·	
		· · ·	·		•
					_ ^ •
			. ·		

¹ "Clean plugging" refers to the use of another vehicle's properly functioning On Board Diagnostic, generation II, (OBD II) system, or another source, to generate passing diagnostic readings for the purpose of issuing fraudulent smog Certificates of Compliance to vehicles that are not in smog compliance and/or not present for testing.

23

24

25

28

² This vehicle was previously tested at another smog check station on January 29, 2016. The OIS Test Detail for that test indicated the eVIN was not transmitted, the communication protocol was transmitted as 1914, and the PID count was 17, consistent with the expected Similar Vehicle OIS Test Data.

³ This vehicle was previously tested at another smog check station on March 21, 2017.
 The OIS Test Detail for that test indicated the eVIN was transmitted matched, the communication protocol was transmitted as ICAN11bt5, and the PID count was 38/21, consistent with the expected Similar Vehicle OIS Test Data.

7

		,		Comm. Protocol: I914
Vehicle #3	2003 Toyota Camry LE	Cert. HF015426C	EO 638985 (Respondent	(expected: matched)
9/23/2017	Lic. 5ACS106 ⁴	111 010-200	Ramos)	PID Count: 9 (expected: 17, or 18)
·			· · ·	eVIN: Reported, But Not Expected
				Comm, Protocol: 1914
Vehicle,#4 9/25/2017	2014 Nissan Versa Note S Lic, 7ETK637	Cert. HF015428C	EO 638985 (Respondent Ramos)	(expected: ICAN11bt5)
		i i		PID Count: 9 (expected: 39, 39/15, or 39/16)
		· · · ·		eVIN: Matched
<b>Vehicle #5</b> 9/25/2017	2009 BMW 328i SULEV Lic, None ⁵	Cert. HF015429C	EO 638985 (Respondent Ramos)	Comm. Protocol I914 (expected: ICAN11bt5)
	VIN: #WBAWV13579P			PID Count: 9 (expected: 46, or 46/11) eVIN: Matched
-				
•				
-	•	· · · .		•
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· .	
	chicle was previously Detail for that test indi	CALCH INC OVIN WAS	not transmitted	the communication
ehicle OIS Te	ansmitted as 1914, and st Data.	i me PID count was	17, consistent v	vith the expected Si
TIC ATO TOSLT	ehicle was previously etail for that test indic	cated the evin was	transmitted mai	ched the communi
protocol was th	ansmitted as ICAN111 ar Vehicle OIS Test D	ots, and the PLD cou	unt was 46/11, c	onsistent with the
	· .			

: i

		-				
1					Comm. Protocol: 1914	<u>]</u> .
2	Vehicle #6 9/26/2017	2003 Honda Civic Hybrid	Cert. HF015430C	EO 638985 (Respondent	(expected: matched)	
3		Lic. None ⁶ VIN:		Ramos)	PID Count: 9 (expected: 4/17)	
4		#JHMES95613S00 6057			eVIN: Reported.	
6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		But Not Expected	
7		000475-11	<i>a</i>		Comm. Protocol; 1914	
	Vehicle #7	2004 Buick Rendezyous CX	Cert. HF015432C	EO 638985 (Respondent	(expected: JVPW)	ļ
8 9	9/26/2017	Lic. 6WVS944 ⁷		Ramos)	PID Count: 9 (expected: 19)	
10					eVIN: Matched	
11	X7.1.1.1. //0				Comm. Protocol: 1914	
12	Vehicle #8 9/27/2017	2012 Dodge Ram 2500 Laramie Lic. 97523F1 ⁸	Cert. HF015433C	EO 638985 (Respondent Ramos)	(expected: ICAN11bt5)	
13 14		LIG. 97523F1°	•	1000	PID Count: 9 (expected: 48)	
15					eVIN: Matched	
16	Vehicle #9	0010 m	-		Comm, Protocol: 1914	
17	9/27/2017	2013 Toyota Camry SE	Cert. HF015434C	EO 638985 (Respondent Ramos)	(expected: lCAN11bt5)	
18 19		Lic. 6ZLS914		incantosy	PID Count: 9 (expected: 47/18)	
20				· · ·	eVIN: Matched	
21		ehicle was previously Detail for that test indic	ISTOCIENCE A VIEN WAG	a not tronomitted	the concentration to an	)16.
22.·	Similar Vehicle	ansmitted as 1914, and OIS Test Data.	the FID count was	s 4/17, consistent	t with the expected	
23	⁷ This v OIS Test Detail	ehicle was previously I for that test indicated	tested at another si the eVIN was trac	mog check statio	n on July 25, 2016. T	he

H

24

25

26

27

28

⁽¹This vehicle was previously tested at another smog check station on July 25, 2016. The OIS Test Detail for that test indicated the eVIN was transmitted matched, the communication protocol was transmitted as JVPW, and the PID count was 19, consistent with the expected Similar Vehicle OIS Test Data.

⁸ This vehicle was previously tested at another smog check station on August 18, 2017. The OIS Test Detail for that test indicated the eVIN was transmitted matched, the communication protocol was transmitted as ICAN11bt5, and the PID count was 48, consistent with the expected Similar Vehicle OIS Test Data.

9

disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that he made or authorized statements which he knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: Respondent J&A Smog Check certified that the 1 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, had passed inspection and were in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, Respondent J&A Smog Check conducted the inspectio of the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, using clean-plugging methods in that he substituted or used a different vehicle(s), or another source, during the OBD II functional tests in order to issue smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles. Respondent J&A Smog Check did not test or inspect any of the 10 vehicles as required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012.								
9/28/2017       Quest S Lie, 7SPJ889 ⁹ HF015438C       (Respondent Ramos)       1914 (expected: matched)         9/28/2017       Quest S Lie, 7SPJ889 ⁹ HF015438C       (Respondent Ramos)       1914 (expected: But Noted)         26.       The data analysis conducted on Respondent J&A Smog Check between September 23, 2017 to September 28, 2017 shows that Respondents participated in a scheme to perform at least ten (10) fraudulent smog check inspections resulting in the issuance of ten (10) fraudulent electronic smog check certificates of compliance. <b>FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</b> (Untrue or Misleading Statements)         27.       Respondent J&A Smog Check's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code scotion 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that he made or authorized statements which he knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: Respondent J&A Smog Check certified that the 1 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, had passed inspection and were in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, Respondent J&A Smog Check conducted the inspection of the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, using clean-plugging methods in that he substituted or used a different vehicle(s), or another source, during the OBD II functional tests in order to issue smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles. Respondent J&A Smog Check did not test or inspect any of the 10 vehicles as required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012.         9       This vehicle was previously tested at another smog check station on March 30, 2016. The OIS Test Detail for that test indicated the eVIN was not transmitted, the communication protoc	-	· · · ·			<u>.</u>	······		
<ul> <li>(expected: 22) eVIN: Reported, But Not Expected</li> <li>26. The data analysis conducted on Respondent J&amp;A Smog Check between September</li> <li>23, 2017 to September 28, 2017 shows that Respondents participated in a scheme to perform at least ten (10) fraudulent smog check inspections resulting in the issuance of ten (10) fraudulent electronic smog check certificates of compliance.</li> <li><u>FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u> (Untrue or Misleading Statements)</li> <li>27. Respondent J&amp;A Smog Check's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. &amp; Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that he made or authorized statements which he knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: Respondent J&amp;A Smog Check certified that the 1 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, had passed inspection and were in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, Respondent J&amp;A Smog Check conducted the inspection of the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, using clean-plugging methods in that he substituted or used a different vehicle(s), or another source, during the OBD II functional tests in order to issue smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles. Respondent J&amp;A Smog Check did not test or inspect any of the 10 vehicles as required by Health &amp; Saf. Code section 44012.</li> </ul>			Quest S		(Respondent	I914 (expected:		
But Not Expected     Constraints analysis conducted on Respondent J&A Smog Check between September     Constraints analysis conducted on Respondent J&A Smog Check between September     Constraints and the second se								
<ul> <li>23, 2017 to September 28, 2017 shows that Respondents participated in a scheme to perform at least ten (10) fraudulent smog check inspections resulting in the issuance of ten (10) fraudulent electronic smog check certificates of compliance.</li> <li><u>FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u> (Untrue or Misleading Statements)</li> <li>27. Respondent J&amp;A Smog Check's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. &amp; Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that he made or authorized statements which he knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: Respondent J&amp;A Smog Check certified that the 1 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, had passed inspection and were in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, Respondent J&amp;A Smog Check conducted the inspection of the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, using clean-plugging methods in that he substituted or used a different vehicle(s), or another source, during the OBD II functional tests if order to issue smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles. Respondent J&amp;A Smog Check did not test or inspect any of the 10 vehicles as required by Health &amp; Saf. Code section 44012.</li> </ul>						eVIN: Reported, But Not Expected		
<ul> <li>23, 2017 to September 28, 2017 shows that Respondents participated in a scheme to perform at least ten (10) fraudulent smog check inspections resulting in the issuance of ten (10) fraudulent electronic smog check certificates of compliance.</li> <li><u>FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u> (Untrue or Misleading Statements)</li> <li>27. Respondent J&amp;A Smog Check's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. &amp; Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that he made or authorized statements which he knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: Respondent J&amp;A Smog Check certified that the 1 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, had passed inspection and were in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, Respondent J&amp;A Smog Check conducted the inspection of the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, using clean-plugging methods in that he substituted or used a different vehicle(s), or another source, during the OBD II functional tests in order to issue smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles. Respondent J&amp;A Smog Check did not test or inspect any of the 10 vehicles as required by Health &amp; Saf. Code section 44012.</li> </ul>	L _	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
<ul> <li>23, 2017 to September 28, 2017 shows that Respondents participated in a scheme to perform at least ten (10) fraudulent smog check inspections resulting in the issuance of ten (10) fraudulent electronic smog check certificates of compliance.</li> <li><u>FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u> (Untrue or Misleading Statements)</li> <li>27. Respondent J&amp;A Smog Check's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. &amp; Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that he made or authorized statements which he knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: Respondent J&amp;A Smog Check certified that the 1 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, had passed inspection and were in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, Respondent J&amp;A Smog Check conducted the inspectior of the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, using clean-plugging methods in that he substituted or used a different vehicle(s), or another source, during the OBD II functional tests in order to issue smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles. Respondent J&amp;A Smog Check did not test or inspect any of the 10 vehicles as required by Health &amp; Saf. Code section 44012.</li> </ul>								
<ul> <li>least ten (10) fraudulent smog check inspections resulting in the issuance of ten (10) fraudulent electronic smog check certificates of compliance.</li> <li><u>FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u> (Untrue or Misleading Statements)</li> <li>27. Respondent J&amp;A Smog Check's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. &amp; Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that he made or authorized statements which he knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: Respondent J&amp;A Smog Check certified that the 1 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, had passed inspection and were in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, Respondent J&amp;A Smog Check conducted the inspection of the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, using clean-plugging methods in that he substituted or used a different vehicle(s), or another source, during the OBD II functional tests in order to issue smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles. Respondent J&amp;A Smog Check did not test or inspect any of the 10 vehicles as required by Health &amp; Saf. Code section 44012.</li> </ul>		26. The	data analysis condu	cted on Responden	t J&A Smog Che	ck between September		
electronic smog check certificates of compliance. FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Untrue or Misleading Statements) 27. Respondent J&A Smog Check's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that he made or authorized statements which he knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: Respondent J&A Smog Check certified that the 1 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, had passed inspection and were in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, Respondent J&A Smog Check conducted the inspection of the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, using clean-plugging methods in that he substituted or used a different vehicle(s), or another source, during the OBD II functional tests in order to issue smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles. Respondent J&A Smog Check did not test or inspect any of the 10 vehicles as required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012. ⁹ This vehicle was previously tested at another smog check station on March 30, 2016. The OIS Test Detail for that test indicated the eVIN was not transmitted, the communication protocol was transmitted as 1914, and the PID count was 22, consistent with the expected Simile		23, 2017 to Sep	tember 28, 2017 sho	ws that Responden	ts participated in	a scheme to perform at		
<u>FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE</u> (Untrue or Misleading Statements) 27. Respondent J&A Smog Check's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that he made or authorized statements which he knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: Respondent J&A Smog Check certified that the 1 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, had passed inspection and were in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, Respondent J&A Smog Check conducted the inspection of the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, using clean-plugging methods in that he substituted or used a different vehicle(s), or another source, during the OBD II functional tests in order to issue smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles. Respondent J&A Smog Check did not test or inspect any of the 10 vehicles as required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012.	1	east ten (10) fr	audulent smog check	inspections resulti	ng in the issuance	e of ten (10) fraudulent		
(Untrue or Misleading Statements) 27. Respondent J&A Smog Check's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that he made or authorized statements which he knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: Respondent J&A Smog Check certified that the 1 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, had passed inspection and were in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, Respondent J&A Smog Check conducted the inspection of the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, using clean-plugging methods in that he substituted or used a different vehicle(s), or another source, during the OBD II functional tests in order to issue smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles. Respondent J&A Smog Check did not test or inspect any of the 10 vehicles as required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012. ⁹ This vehicle was previously tested at another smog check station on March 30, 2016. The OIS Test Detail for that test indicated the eVIN was not transmitted, the communication protocol was transmitted as 1914, and the PID count was 22, consistent with the expected Similar	•	electronic smog	, check certificates o	f compliance.		· 、 · ·		
27. Respondent J&A Smog Check's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that he made or authorized statements which he knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: Respondent J&A Smog Check certified that the 1 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, had passed inspection and were in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, Respondent J&A Smog Check conducted the inspection of the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, using clean-plugging methods in that he substituted or used a different vehicle(s), or another source, during the OBD II functional tests in order to issue smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles. Respondent J&A Smog Check did not test or inspect any of the 10 vehicles as required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012.		·	FIRS	T CAUSE FOR D	ISCIPLINE			
disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that he made or authorized statements which he knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: Respondent J&A Smog Check certified that the 1 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, had passed inspection and were in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, Respondent J&A Smog Check conducted the inspection of the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, using clean-plugging methods in that he substituted or used a different vehicle(s), or another source, during the OBD II functional tests in order to issue smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles. Respondent J&A Smog Check did not test or inspect any of the 10 vehicles as required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012.		(Untrue or Misleading Statements)						
made or authorized statements which he knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: Respondent J&A Smog Check certified that the 1 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, had passed inspection and were in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, Respondent J&A Smog Check conducted the inspection of the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, using clean-plugging methods in that he substituted or used a different vehicle(s), or another source, during the OBD II functional tests in order to issue smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles. Respondent J&A Smog Check did not test or inspect any of the 10 vehicles as required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012.		27. Respondent J&A Smog Check's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to						
known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: Respondent J&A Smog Check certified that the 1 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, had passed inspection and were in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, Respondent J&A Smog Check conducted the inspection of the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, using clean-plugging methods in that he substituted or used a different vehicle(s), or another source, during the OBD II functional tests in order to issue smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles. Respondent J&A Smog Check did not test or inspect any of the 10 vehicles as required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012.		disciplinary act	ion pursuant to Bus.	& Prof. Code secti	on 9884.7, subdi	vision (a)(1), in that he		
applicable laws and regulations. In fact, Respondent J&A Smog Check conducted the inspection of the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, using clean-plugging methods in that he substituted or used a different vehicle(s), or another source, during the OBD II functional tests in order to issue smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles. Respondent J&A Smog Check did not test or inspect any of the 10 vehicles as required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012.	]	made or author	ized statements whic	h he knew or in the	exercise of reas	onable care should have		
applicable laws and regulations. In fact, Respondent J&A Smog Check conducted the inspection of the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, using clean-plugging methods in that he substituted or used a different vehicle(s), or another source, during the OBD II functional tests in order to issue smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles. Respondent J&A Smog Check did not test or inspect any of the 10 vehicles as required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012.	]	known to be un	true or misleading, a	s follows: Respon	dent J&A Smog	Check certified that the 1		
of the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, using clean-plugging methods in that he substituted or used a different vehicle(s), or another source, during the OBD II functional tests in order to issue smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles. Respondent J&A Smog Check did not test or inspect any of the 10 vehicles as required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012.	,	vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, had passed inspection and were in compliance with						
substituted or used a different vehicle(s), or another source, during the OBD II functional tests in order to issue smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles. Respondent J&A Smog Check did not test or inspect any of the 10 vehicles as required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012. ⁹ This vehicle was previously tested at another smog check station on March 30, 2016. The OIS Test Detail for that test indicated the eVIN was not transmitted, the communication protocol was transmitted as 1914, and the PID count was 22, consistent with the expected Simila Vehicle OIS Test Data		applicable laws and regulations. In fact, Respondent J&A Smog Check conducted the inspections						
order to issue smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles. Respondent J&A Smog Check did not test or inspect any of the 10 vehicles as required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012. ⁹ This vehicle was previously tested at another smog check station on March 30, 2016. The OIS Test Detail for that test indicated the eVIN was not transmitted, the communication protocol was transmitted as 1914, and the PID count was 22, consistent with the expected Similar Vehicle OIS Test Data		of the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, using clean-plugging methods in that he						
did not test or inspect any of the 10 vehicles as required by Health & Saf. Code section 44012. ⁹ This vehicle was previously tested at another smog check station on March 30, 2016. The OIS Test Detail for that test indicated the eVIN was not transmitted, the communication protocol was transmitted as 1914, and the PID count was 22, consistent with the expected Similar Vehicle OIS Test Data		substituted or used a different vehicle(s), or another source, during the OBD II functional tests in						
⁹ This vehicle was previously tested at another smog check station on March 30, 2016. The OIS Test Detail for that test indicated the eVIN was not transmitted, the communication protocol was transmitted as 1914, and the PID count was 22, consistent with the expected Simila Vehicle OIS Test Data		order to issue smog certificates of compliance for the vehicles. Respondent J&A Smog Check						
⁹ This vehicle was previously tested at another smog check station on March 30, 2016. The OIS Test Detail for that test indicated the eVIN was not transmitted, the communication protocol was transmitted as 1914, and the PID count was 22, consistent with the expected Simila Vehicle OIS Test Data		did not test or i	nspect any of the 10	vehicles as require	d by Health & Sa	f. Code section 44012.		
The OIS Test Detail for that test indicated the eVIN was not transmitted, the communication protocol was transmitted as 1914, and the PID count was 22, consistent with the expected Similar Vehicle OIS Test Data			•			· ·		
The OIS Test Detail for that test indicated the eVIN was not transmitted, the communication protocol was transmitted as 1914, and the PID count was 22, consistent with the expected Similar Vehicle OIS Test Data		9 This v	vehicle was previous	ly tested at mother	smog check stati	on on March 30, 2016		
Vehicle OIS Test Data		The OIS Test I	Detail for that test inc	flicated the eVIN w	as not transmitte	d, the communication		
					an west a controlation			

10

Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 25 through 26, above, as though set forth fully herein.

1

2

3

13

14

24

25

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Fraud)

Respondent J&A Smog Check's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to 28. 5 disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(4), in that he 6 committed acts that constitute fraud by issuing electronic smog certificates of compliance for the 7 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, without performing bona fide inspections of the 8 emission control devices and systems on the vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of 9 California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. Complainant 10 refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 25 through 11 26, above, as though set forth fully herein, 12

## THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Material Violation of Automotive Repair Act)

29. Respondent J&A Smog Check's Automotive Repair Dealer Registration is subject to 15 disciplinary action pursuant to Bus. & Prof. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that he 16 failed in a material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or regulations adopted 17pursuant to it when he issued electronic certificates of compliance for the 10 vehicles identified in 18 paragraph 25 above, without performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices 19 and systems on those vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the 20 protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. Complainant refers to, and by this 21reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 25 through 26, above, as though 22 set forth fully herein. 23

## FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

26 30. Respondent J&A Smog Check's Smog Check, Test Only, Station License is subject
27 to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that he
28 failed to comply with the following sections of that Code:

11

a. <u>Section 44012</u>: Respondent J&A Smog Check failed to ensure that the emission
 control tests were performed on the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, in accordance
 with procedures prescribed by the department.

b. <u>Section 44015</u>: Respondent J&A Smog Check issued electronic smog certificates of
compliance for the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, without ensuring that the
vehicles were properly tested and inspected to determine if they were in compliance with Health
& Saf. Code section 44012.

8 Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in
9 paragraphs 25 through 26, above, as though set forth fully herein.

10

11

12

28

## FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant

## to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

13 31. Respondent J&A Smog Check's Smog Check, Test Only, Station License is subject
14 to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that he
15 failed to comply with provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows:

a. <u>Section 3340.24, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent J&A Smog Check falsely or
fraudulently issued electronic smog certificates of compliance for the 10 vehicles identified in
paragraph 25 above.

b. <u>Section 3340.35, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent J&A Smog Check issued electronic
smog certificates of compliance for the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, even though
the vehicles had not been inspected in accordance with section 3340.42,

c. <u>Section 3340.41, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent J&A Smog Check knowingly entered
false information into the emissions inspection system for the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph
25 above.

d. <u>Section 3340.42</u>: Respondent J&A Smog Check failed to ensure that the required
smog tests were conducted on the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, in accordance
with the Bureau's specifications.

Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in 1 paragraphs 25 through 26, above, as though set forth fully herein. 2 SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 3 (Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceif) 4 Respondent J&A Smog Check's Smog Check, Test Only, Station License is subject 32. 5 to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that he 6 committed dishonest, fraudulent, or deceitful acts whereby another was injured by issuing 7 electronic smog certificates of compliance for the 10 vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, 8 without performing bona fide inspections of the emission control devices and systems on the 9 vehicles, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the 10 Motor Vehicle Inspection Program. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the 11 allegations contained in paragraphs 25 through 26, above, as though set forth fully herein. 12 SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 13 (Violations of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 14 Respondent Ramos' Smog Check Inspector License is subject to disciplinary action 33. 15 pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (a), in that he failed to comply with 16 section 44012 of that Code in a material respect, as follows: Respondent Ramos failed to perform 17 the emission control tests on 10 of the vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, in accordance 18 with procedures prescribed by the department. Complainant refers to, and by this reference 19 incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 25 through 26, above, as though set forth 20fully herein, 21 EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 22 (Failure to Comply with Regulations Pursuant to the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program) 23 24 34. Respondent Ramos' Smog Check Inspector License is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (c), in that he failed to comply with 25provisions of California Code of Regulations, title 16, as follows: 26Section 3340.24, subdivision (c): Respondent Ramos falsely or fraudulently issued 27 a. electronic smog certificates of compliance for 10 of the vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above. 28 13 Accusation Against J&A Smog Check, Marmolejo Andres Martinez, and Marco Aurelio Gonzalez Ramos

b. Section 3340.30, subdivision (a): Respondent Ramos failed to inspect and test 10 of 1 the vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, in accordance with Health & Saf. Code sections 2 44012 and 44035, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42. 3

Section 3340.41, subdivision (c): Respondent Ramos knowingly entered false с. 4 information into the emissions inspection system for 10 of the vehicles identified in paragraph 25 5 above. 6

Section 3340.42: Respondent Ramos failed to conduct the required smog tests on 10 đ. of the vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in paragraphs 25 through 26, above, as though set forth fully herein. 10

7

8

9

11

12

21

## NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

Respondent Remos' Smog Check Inspector License is subject to disciplinary action 13 35. pursuant to Health & Saf. Code section 44072.2, subdivision (d), in that he committed dishonest, 14 fraudulent, or deceitful acts whereby another was injured by issuing electronic smog certificates 15 of compliance for 10 of the vehicles identified in paragraph 25 above, without performing bona 16 fide inspections of the emission control devices and systems on the vehicles, thereby depriving 17the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection 18 Program. Complainant refers to, and by this reference incorporates, the allegations contained in 19 paragraphs 25 through 26, above, as though set forth fully herein. 20

#### OTHER MATTERS

36. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), the 22 Director may suspend, revoke or place on probation the registration for all places of business 23operated in this state by Macmolejo Andres Martinez, upon a finding that Respondent has, or is, 24 engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of the laws and regulations pertaining to an 25 automotive repair dealer. 26

37. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check, Test Only, 27Station License TC 287686, issued to Respondent Marmolejo Andres Martinez is revoked or 28

14

suspended, any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the Director.

38. Pursuant to Health & Safety Code section 44072.8, if Smog Check Inspector License
No. EO 638985 issued to Marco Aurello Gonzalez Ramos, is revoked or suspended, any
additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code
in the name of said licensee may be likewise revoked or suspended by the Director.

#### PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:

111.Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD12287686, issued to Respondent Marmolejo Andres Martinez, Owner; dba J&A Smog Check;

Revoking or suspending any other automotive repair dealer registration issued to
 Marmolejo Andres Martinez;

153. Revoking or suspending Smog Check, Test Only, Station License Number TC16287686, issued to Respondent Marmolejo Andres Martinez, Owner; dba J&A Smog Check;

Revoking or suspending any additional license under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of Division
 26 of the Health and Safety Code in the name of Marmolejo Andres Martinez;

19 5. Revoking or suspending Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 638985 issued to
 20 Respondent Marco Aurelio Gonzalez Ramos;

6. Revoking or suspending any additional license issued under Chapter 5 of Part 5 of
Division 26 of the Health and Safety Code in the name of Marco Aurelio Gonzalez Ramos;

7. Ordering Respondent Marmolejo Andres Martinez, Owner; dba J&A Smog Check;
and Respondent Marco Aurelio Gonzalez Ramos to pay the Bureau of Automotive Repair the
reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, pursuant to Business and
Professions Code section 125.3; and,

27 28

1

2

3

8

Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper, 8. DATED: Hpril 25, 2018 PATRICK Chief DORAIS Bureau of Automotive Repair Department of Consumer Affairs State of California Complainant LA2018601110 62796681_2.docx Accusation Against J&A Smog Check, Marmolejo Andres Martinez, and Marco Aurelio Gonzalez Ramos