1	XAVIER BECERRA		
2	Attorney General of California JANICE K. LACHMAN Supervising Deputy Attorney General LORRIE M. YOST		
3			
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 119088		
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255		
6	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 210-6086		
7	Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR		
10	STATE OF CAL		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 79/16-11437	
12	SURVJIT SINGH CHEEMA	ACUSATION	
13	2018 Tres Picos Drive Yuba City, California 95993		
14			
15	Smog Check Inspector License No. EO 145056 Smog Check Repair Technician License No. EI 145056		
16	Respondent.		
17	respondent.		
18	i	1	
i			
19			
19 20	Patrick Dorais ("Complainant") alleges:		
	Patrick Dorais ("Complainant") alleges:  PARTIE	<u>S</u>	
20	PARTIE	<u>S</u> ly in his official capacity as the Chief of the	
20 21	PARTIE	y in his official capacity as the Chief of the	
20 21 22	PARTIE  1. Complainant brings this Accusation solel	y in his official capacity as the Chief of the nt of Consumer Affairs.	
20 21 22 23	PARTIE  1. Complainant brings this Accusation solel  Bureau of Automotive Repair ("Bureau"), Department	ly in his official capacity as the Chief of the nt of Consumer Affairs. c Area Technician License Number EB	
20 21 22 23 24	PARTIE  1. Complainant brings this Accusation solel Bureau of Automotive Repair ("Bureau"), Department  2. In or about 2002, the Bureau issued Basic	ly in his official capacity as the Chief of the nt of Consumer Affairs.  c Area Technician License Number EB he license was due to expire on December	
20 21 22 23 24 25	PARTIE  1. Complainant brings this Accusation solel Bureau of Automotive Repair ("Bureau"), Department  2. In or about 2002, the Bureau issued Basic  145056 to Survjit Singh Cheema ("Respondent"). The	ly in his official capacity as the Chief of the nt of Consumer Affairs.  c Area Technician License Number EB ne license was due to expire on December arsuant to California Code of Regulations,	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26	PARTIE  1. Complainant brings this Accusation solel Bureau of Automotive Repair ("Bureau"), Department  2. In or about 2002, the Bureau issued Basic 145056 to Survjit Singh Cheema ("Respondent"). The survey of th	ly in his official capacity as the Chief of the nt of Consumer Affairs.  c Area Technician License Number EB he license was due to expire on December ursuant to California Code of Regulations, was renewed, pursuant to Respondent's	

( SURVJIT SINGH CHEEMA) ACCUSATION

Check Inspector (EO) license and/or Smog Check Repair Technician (EI) license.

28

14. Section 3340.42 of the California Code of Regulations, title 16 states in pertinent part that a visual inspection of emission control components and systems must be performed to verify that the vehicle's emission control systems are properly installed; and that the smog check inspection methods to be used are prescribed in the Smog Check Manual.

COST RECOVERY

15. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

## <u>UNDERCOVER OPERATION NO. 1 – FEBRUARY 12, 2016</u>

16. On or about February 12, 2016, a Bureau operator ("operator") drove a 2007 Jeep to Yuba Plaza Shell located in Yuba City, California, and requested a smog inspection. As part of the Bureau's documentation of the vehicle, the vehicle could not pass the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle had an unapproved aftermarket innovative performance chip installed. Respondent performed the smog inspection on the vehicle and issued electronic Certificate of Compliance Number , certifying that he had tested and inspected the vehicle and that the vehicle was in compliance with applicable laws and regulations. In fact, the vehicle could not have passed the visual portion of the smog inspection because the vehicle had an unapproved aftermarket innovative performance chip installed.

# FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Failure to Comply with Provisions of the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program)

- 17. Respondent's technician licenses are subject to discipline under Health and Safety Code section 44072.2(a), in that on or about February 12, 2016, regarding the Jeep, he failed to comply with the following sections of that Code:
- a. Section 44012(f): Respondent failed to perform a visual and/or functional check of the required emission control systems and devices on the vehicle in accordance with procedures prescribed by the department.

///

b. **Section 44032:** Respondent failed to perform tests of emission control devices and systems on the vehicle in accordance with section 44012 of the Health and Safety Code.

## **SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

## (Failure to Comply with Regulations)

- 18. Respondent's technician licenses are subject to discipline under Health and Safety Code section 44072.2(c), in that on or about February 12, 2016, regarding the Jeep, he failed to comply with the following sections of the California Code of Regulations, title 16:
- a. **Section 3340.30(a):** Respondent failed to inspect and test the Jeep in accordance with Health and Safety Code sections 44012 and 44035, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42.
- b. **Section 3340.41(c):** Respondent entered false information into the Emission Inspection System ("EIS") for electronic Certificate of Compliance Number by entering "pass" for the visual portion of the smog inspection when, in fact, the vehicle could not have passed the visual portion of the inspection because the vehicle had an unapproved aftermarket innovation performance chip installed.
- c. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests and inspections on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

## THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

## (Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

19. Respondent's technician licenses are subject to discipline under Health and Safety Code section 44072.2(d), in that on or about February 12, 2016, he committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance Number for the Jeep without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on that vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

## <u>UNDERCOVER OPERATION NO. 2 – FEBRUARY 16, 2016</u>

20. On or about February 16, 2016, a Bureau operator drove a 2008 Chrysler to Bridge Street Chevron, located in Yuba City, California, and requested a smog inspection. As part of the

have passed the visual portion of the inspection because the vehicle had an unapproved aftermarket jet performance module device installed.

c. **Section 3340.42:** Respondent failed to conduct the required smog tests and inspections on the vehicle in accordance with the Bureau's specifications.

#### **SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

## (Dishonesty, Fraud or Deceit)

23. Respondent's technician licenses are subject to discipline under Health and Safety Code section 44072.2(d), in that on or about February 16, 2016, he committed acts involving dishonesty, fraud or deceit whereby another was injured by issuing electronic Certificate of Compliance Number for the Chrysler without performing a bona fide inspection of the emission control devices and systems on that vehicle, thereby depriving the People of the State of California of the protection afforded by the Motor Vehicle Inspection Program.

## **PRIOR CITATIONS**

- 24. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, Complainant alleges the following:
- a. On or about August 6, 2014, the Bureau issued Citation No. M2014-1105 against Respondent's Smog Check Inspector and Smog Check Repair Technician licenses for violations of Health and Safety Code section 44032 (failure to perform tests and inspections in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 44012), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.30(a) (inspect, test, and repair vehicles in accordance with Health and Safety Code sections 44012 and 44035, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 3340.42) for issuing a certificate of compliance to a Bureau undercover vehicle with a missing positive crankcase ventilation components. Respondent was required to attend an 8-hour training course. On or about September 8, 2014, Respondent completed the required training course.
- b. On or about September 4, 2014, the Bureau issued Citation No. M2014-1170 against Respondent's Smog Check Inspector and Smog Check Repair Technician licenses for violations of Health and Safety Code section 44032 (failure to perform tests and inspections in accordance with Health and Safety Code section 44012), and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section

1	5. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2	DATED: February 2, 2018 fathick to Brain
3	PATRICK DORAIS Chief
4	Bureau of Automotive Repair Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
5	State of California  Complainant
6	
7	SA2016104097 12822324.doc
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	0