1	Kamala D. Harris
2	Attorney General of California ARMANDO ZAMBRANO
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General ALVARO MEJIA
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 216956
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013
6	Telephone: (213) 897-0083 Facsimile: (213) 897-2804
7	Attorneys for Complainant
8	BEFORE THE
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS FOR THE BUREAU OF AUTOMOTIVE REPAIR
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. $\frac{\gamma \gamma}{16-19}$
12	HONDA ACURA SPECIALIST; A C C U S A T I O N
13	BUNTHOEUN NAMLONG, Owner 765 Gifford Ave., Unit 16
14	San Bernardino, CA 92408
15	Mailing Address 6084 Orange Knoll Ave.
16	San Bernardino, CA 92404
17	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration No. ARD 272942
18	Respondent.
19	
20	Complainant alleges:
21	PARTIES
22	1. Patrick Dorais (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity
23	as the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, Department of Consumer Affairs.
24	2. On May 8, 2013, the Bureau of Automotive Repair issued Automotive Repair
25	Dealer Number ARD 272942 to Honda Acura Specialist, Bunthoeun Namlong, owner
26	(Respondent). The Automotive Repair Dealer Registration expired on May 31, 2014, and has not
27	been renewed.
28	111
	1

.

JURISDICTION 1 3. This Accusation is brought before the Director of Consumer Affairs (Director) for 2 the Bureau of Automotive Repair, under the authority of the following laws. All section 3 references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated. 4 4. Business and Professions Code (Code) section 9884.7 provides that the Director 5 may revoke an automotive repair dealer registration. 6 5. Code section 22, subdivision (a), states: 7 8 "Board" as used in any provision of this Code, refers to the board in which the administration of the provision is vested, and unless otherwise expressly provided, shall include "bureau," "commission," "committee," "department," "division," "examining committee," "program," and "agency." 9 10 6. Code section 477, subdivision (b), states, in pertinent part, that a "license" includes 11 "registration" and "certificate." 12 7. Code section 9884.13 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a valid 13 registration shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding 14 against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision temporarily or permanently 15 invalidating (suspending or revoking) a registration. 16 8. Code section 9889.1 provides, in pertinent part, that the Director may suspend or 17 revoke any license issued under Articles 5 and 6 (commencing with section 9887.1) of the 18 Automotive Repair Act. 19 9. Code section 9889.7 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration or suspension 20 of a license by operation of law or by order or decision of the Director or a court of law, or the 21 voluntary surrender of a license shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with any 22 disciplinary proceedings. 23 10. Code section 9884.13 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a valid 24 registration shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding 25 against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision temporarily or permanently 26 invalidating (suspending or revoking) a registration. 27 28 Π 2

1	STATUTORY PROVISIONS
2	11. Code section 9884.6 provides, in pertinent part, that it is unlawful for any person
3	to be an automotive repair dealer unless that person has registered in accordance with this chapter
4	and unless that registration is currently valid.
5	12. Code section 9884.7 states, in pertinent part:
6	(a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there
7	was a bona fide error, may deny, suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or
8	omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician,
9	employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.
10	(1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or
11	which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.
12	(2) Causing or allowing a customer to sign any work order that does not
13	state the repairs requested by the customer or the automobile's odometer reading at the time of repair.
14	• • • •
15	(4) Any other conduct that constitutes fraud.
16	••••
17	(6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this
18	chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to it.
19	(7) Any willful departure from or disregard of accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike repair in any material respect, which is prejudicial to
20	another without consent of the owner or his or her duly authorized representative.
21	••••
22	13. Code section 9884.8 states:
23	All work done by an automotive repair dealer, including all warranty work, shall be recorded on an invoice and shall describe all service work done and
23	parts supplied. Service work and parts shall be listed separately on the invoice,
24	which shall also state separately the subtotal prices for service work and for parts, not including sales tax, and shall state separately the sales tax, if any, applicable to each If any used, rebuilt, or reconditioned parts are supplied the invoice shall
	to each. If any used, rebuilt, or reconditioned parts are supplied, the invoice shall clearly state that fact. If a part of a component system is composed of new and used rebuilt or record divisor that invoice shall clearly state that fact. The
26	used, rebuilt or reconditioned parts, that invoice shall clearly state that fact. The invoice shall include a statement indicating whether any crash parts are original
27 28	equipment manufacturer crash parts or nonoriginal equipment manufacturer aftermarket crash parts. One copy of the invoice shall be given to the customer and one copy shall be retained by the automotive repair dealer
20	and one copy shall be retained by the automotive repair dealer.
	3

1	14. Code section 9884.9 states, in pertinent part:
2	(a) The automotive repair dealer shall give to the customer a written estimated price for labor and parts necessary for a specific job. No work shall be
3	done and no charges shall accrue before authorization to proceed is obtained from the customer. No charge shall be made for work done or parts supplied in excess
4 5	of the estimated price without the oral or written consent of the customer that shall be obtained at some time after it is determined that the estimated price is
6	insufficient and before the work not estimated is done or the parts not estimated are supplied. Written consent or authorization for an increase in the original estimated price may be provided by electronic mail or facsimile transmission
7	from the customer. The bureau may specify in regulation the procedures to be followed by an automotive repair dealer when an authorization or consent for an
8	increase in the original estimated price is provided by electronic mail or facsimile transmission. If that consent is oral, the dealer shall make a notation on the work
9	order of the date, time, name of person authorizing the additional repairs and telephone number called, if any, together with a specification of the additional
10	parts and labor and the total additional cost.
11	
12	15. Code section 9884.11 states:
13	Each automotive repair dealer shall maintain any records that are required
14	by regulations adopted to carry out this chapter [the Automotive Repair Act]. Those records shall be open for reasonable inspection by the chief or other law enforcement officials. All of those records shall be maintained for at least three
15	years.
16	
17	16. Code section 9884.17 states:
18	The bureau shall design and approve of a sign which shall be placed in all automotive repair dealer locations in a place and manner conspicuous to the
19	public. That sign shall give notice that inquiries concerning service may be made to the bureau and shall contain the telephone number and Internet Web site
20	address of the bureau. The sign shall also give notice that the customer is entitled to a return of replaced parts upon his or her request therefor at the time the work
21	order is taken.
22	
23	
24	111
25	111
26	111
27	111
28	111
	4
	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: HONDA ACURA SPECIALIST; BUNTHOEUN NAMLONG, OV

1	REGULATORY PROVISIONS
2	17. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section (Regulation) 3351.3 states:
3	(a) Except as provided in subsection (b), all automotive repair dealers shall display the following in a place and manner conspicuous to their customers:
4	(1) A current and valid certificate of registration as an automotive repair
5	dealer issued by the bureau; and
6 7	(2) An official automotive repair dealer's sign, which meets the specifications of the Act and Section 3351.4 of this article. In the event there are multiple facilities, an official automotive repair dealer's sign shall be displayed in
8	a place and manner conspicuous to all customers at each location.
9	
10	18. Regulations section 3353 states:
11	No work for compensation shall be commenced and no charges shall
12	accrue without specific authorization from the customer in accordance with the following requirements:
13	(a) Estimate for Parts and Labor. Every dealer shall give to each customer a written estimated price for parts and labor for a specific job.
14	
15	
16	19. Regulation section 3356 states:
17 18	(a) All invoices for service and repair work performed, and parts supplied, as provided for in Section 9884.8 of the Business and Professions Code, shall comply with the following:
19	(1) The invoice shall show the automotive repair dealer's registration
20	number and the corresponding business name and address as shown in the Bureau's records. If the automotive repair dealer's telephone number is shown, it shall comply with the requirements of subsection (b) of Section 3371 of this
21	chapter.
22	(2) The invoice shall separately list, describe and identify all of the following:
23	(A) All service and repair work performed, including all diagnostic and
24	warranty work, and the price for each described service and repair.
25 26	(B) Each part supplied, in such a manner that the customer can understand what was purchased, and the price for each described part. The description of each part shall state whether the part was new, used, reconditioned, rebuilt, or an OFM erest part or a non OFM aftermaticat erest part
27	OEM crash part, or a non-OEM aftermarket crash part.
28	(C) The subtotal price for all service and repair work performed.
	5

< ¹

-

1	(D) The subtotal price for all parts supplied, not including sales tax.
1	(E) The applicable sales tax, if any.
2	· · · · ·
3.	(d) The automotive repair dealer shall give the customer a legible copy of
4	the invoice and shall retain a legible copy as part of the automotive repair dealer's records pursuant to Section 9884.11 of the Business and Professions Code and
5	Section 3358 of this article.
6	
7	20. Regulation section 3356.1 states:
.8	An automotive repair dealer may charge a customer for costs associated
9	with the handling, management and disposal of toxic wastes or hazardous substances under California or federal law which directly relate to the servicing or
10	repair of the customer's vehicle. Such charge must be disclosed to the customer by being separately itemized on the estimate prepared pursuant to Section 9884.9(a)
11	of the Business and Professions Code and on the invoice prepared pursuant to Section 9884.8 of the Business and Professions Code. In order to assess this charge, the automotive repair dealer must note on the estimate and invoice the
12	station's Environmental Protection Agency identification number required by
13	Section 262.12 of Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations.
14	21. Regulation section 3358 states:
15	Each automotive repair dealer shall maintain legible copies of the
16	following records for not less than three years:
17	
18	(c) All work orders and/or contracts for repairs, parts and labor. All such records shall be open for reasonable inspection and/or reproduction by the bureau or other law enforcement officials during normal business hours.
19	or other law emotecment officials during normal business nours.
20	22. Regulation section 3371 states:
21	No dealer shall publish, utter, or make or cause to be published, uttered, or
22	made any false or misleading statement or advertisement which is known to be false or misleading, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known
23	to be false or misleading. Advertisements and advertising signs shall clearly show the following:
24	····
25	
26	23. Regulation section 3373 states:
27	No automotive repair dealer or individual in charge shall, in filling out an
28	estimate, invoice, or work order, or record required to be maintained by section 3340.15(f) of this chapter, withhold therefrom or insert therein any statement or
	6

information which will cause any such document to be false or misleading, or where the tendency or effect thereby would be to mislead or deceive customers, prospective customers, or the public.

24. Regulation section 3376 states:

All guarantees shall be in writing and a legible copy thereof shall be delivered to the customer with the invoice itemizing the parts, components, and labor represented to be covered by such guarantee. A guarantee shall be deemed false and misleading unless it conspicuously and clearly discloses in writing the following:

(a) The nature and extent of the guarantee including a description of all parts, characteristics or properties covered by or excluded from the guarantee, the duration of the guarantee and what must be done by a claimant before the guarantor will fulfill his obligation (such as returning the product and paying service or labor charges).

(b) The manner in which the guarantor will perform. The guarantor shall state all conditions and limitations and exactly what the guarantor will do under the guarantee, such as repair, replacement or refund. If the guarantor or recipient of the guarantee has an option as to what may satisfy the guarantee, this must be clearly stated.

(c) The guarantor's identity and address shall be clearly revealed in any documents evidencing the guarantee.

COST RECOVERY

25. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request

17 || the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or

18 || violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation

19 and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licentiate to comply subjecting the license to not

20 || being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs

21 may be included in a stipulated settlement.

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

CONSUMER COMPLAINT (GUTIERREZ): 1988 TOYOTA 4RUNNER

26. On October 11, 2013, the Bureau received a complaint from Alberto Gutierrez (Gutierrez) regarding work performed by Respondent on his 1988 Toyota 4Runner. Reinspection by the Bureau, in reference to worked performed by Respondent between July 22, 2013 and August 27, 2013, found Respondent defrauded consumer Gutierrez by charging him for repair work that was not performed and for parts that were not provided. Upon completion of

28 || the repairs by Respondent, the vehicle's engine did not operate properly, as assured that it would

1	by Christopher L. Reed, Respondent's employee, prior to the commencement of repairs. The
2	Bureau's investigation revealed that as a result of Respondent's fraudulent acts and the fact that
3	the engine was not repaired in a good workmanlike manner, Gutierrez received no benefit from
4	the work Respondent actually provided. The Bureau's investigation revealed that Respondent
5	did not complete the repairs on Gutierrez's vehicle as invoiced and paid for.
6	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
7	(Untrue or Misleading Statements)
8	27. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code
9	section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which it
10	knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as
11	follows:
12	a. On or about July 22, 2013, Respondent represented to Gutierrez that the
13	repairs, as suggested by Respondent, would result in proper engine operation. This statement
14	was false as the engine had a shaking condition and loss of power when Gutierrez retrieved the
15	vehicle from Respondent.
16	b. On or about August 27, 2013, Respondent represented to Gutierrez that
17	the noise emitting from his engine was valve train noise and that the noise would diminish after
18	the engine's hydraulic lifters adjusted by themselves. These statements were false as the engine
19	in Gutierrez's vehicle does not come equipped with hydraulic lifters.
20	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
21	(Fraud)
22	28. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7,
23	subdivision (a)(4), in that Respondent committed acts constituting fraud, as follows:
24	a. Respondent charged Gutierrez \$190 for replacement of the timing belt,
25	water pump, and spark plugs. In fact, these parts were not replaced on the vehicle.
26	111
27	///
28	111
	8

1	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Violations of the Code)
3	29. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7,
4	subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed to comply with provisions of that Code in the
5	following material respects:
6	a. <u>Section 9884.8</u> : Respondent failed to record on an invoice and describe all
7	service work done and parts supplied in its repair of Gutierrez's 1988 Toyota 4Runner.
8	b. <u>Section 9884.9, subdivision (a)</u> : Respondent failed to provide Gutierrez
9	with an itemized written estimate for all parts and labor for the automotive repairs on his 1988
10	Toyota 4Runner.
11	c. <u>Section 9884.11</u> : Respondent failed to maintain records that are required
12	by regulations and failed to make available to the Bureau for inspection all the records pertaining
13	to Gutierrez's vehicle repairs upon the Bureau's request.
14	d. <u>Section 9884.17</u> : Respondent failed to display an official Automotive
15	Repair Dealer sign in a place and manner conspicuous to the public.
16	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
17	(Violations of Regulations)
18	30. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7,
19	subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed to comply with provisions of title 16 of the
20	California Code of Regulations in a material respect, as follows:
2 1 ^{.†}	a. <u>Section 3351.3, subdivision (a)(1)</u> : Respondent failed to display its
22	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration certificate as required.
23	b. <u>Section 3351.3, subdivision (a)(2)</u> : Respondent failed to display an
24	official Automotive Repair Dealer Registration sign, as required.
25	c. <u>Section 3353, subdivision (a)</u> : Respondent failed to provide Gutierrez
26	with a written estimate for parts and labor for the work on his 1988 Toyota 4Runner.
27	d. <u>Section 3356, subdivision (a)(1)</u> : Respondent failed to provide Gutierrez
28	an invoice for service and repair work performed, and parts supplied, as provided for in Code
	9
	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: HONDA ACURA SPECIALIST; BUNTHOEUN NAMLONG, OWNER

1

Section 9884.8, which shows the automotive repair dealer's registration number and the corresponding business name and address as shown in the Bureau's records.

1

2

e. <u>Section 3356, subdivision (a)(2)(A)</u>: Respondent failed to provide
Gutierrez an invoice for service and repair work performed, and parts supplied, as provided for
in Code Section 9884.8, which separately lists, describes and identifies all of the service and
repair work performed, including all diagnostic and warranty work, and the price for each
described service and repair.

f. <u>Section 3356, subdivision (a)(2)(B)</u>: Respondent failed to provide
Gutierrez an invoice for service and repair work performed, and parts supplied, as provided for
in Code Section 9884.8, which separately lists, describes and identifies each part supplied, in
such a manner that the customer can understand what was purchased, and the price for each
described part, whether new, used, reconditioned, rebuilt, or an OEM crash part, or a non-OEM
aftermarket crash part.

g. <u>Section 3356, subdivision (a)(2)(C)</u>: Respondent failed to record in any
invoice the subtotal price for all service and repair work performed on Gutierrez's 1988 Toyota
4Runner.

h. <u>Section 3356, subdivision (a)(2)(D)</u>: Respondent failed to record in any
invoice the subtotal price for all parts supplied, not including taxes, for Gutierrez's 1988 Toyota
4Runner.

i. <u>Section 3356, subdivision (a)(2)(E)</u>: Respondent failed to record in any
invoice the applicable sales tax for part supplied for Gutierrez's 1988 Toyota 4Runner.

j. <u>Section 3356, subdivision (d)</u>: Respondent failed to provide Gutierrez
with an invoice for the diagnostic work performed on August 27, 2013.

k. <u>Section 3356.1</u>: Respondent failed to show their Environmental Protection
Agency identification number on the estimate and/or invoice when charging Gutierrez for toxic
waste disposal.

27 1. <u>Section 3358, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent failed to provide all records
28 pertaining to Gutierrez's vehicle repairs upon the Bureau's request.

10

Section 3371: Respondent made untrue or misleading statements that were m. 1 known to be false or misleading, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known to 2 be false or misleading when it claimed payment for parts that have not been replaced. 3

Section 3373: Respondent charged Gutierrez \$190 for replacement of the 4 n. 5 timing belt, water pump, and spark plugs that were not performed, which caused the invoice to be false or misleading, thereby misleading or deceiving Gutierrez into believing his vehicle's timing belt, water pump, and spark plugs had been replaced.

8

7

6

UNDERCOVER OPERATION: 1995 HONDA

31. On April 18, 2014, an undercover operator of the Bureau (operator) took a 1995 9 Honda to Respondent's facility. The vehicle had been documented with the vehicle's number 10 11 one (1) cylinder fuel injector having an open circuit in the electrical wiring of the fuel injector. The only repair necessary to correct the defect would have been to replace the number one fuel 12 injector. The operator requested that Respondent's employee diagnose the 1995 Honda and 13 reported that vehicle was running rough – the engine was shaking; the vehicle had loss of power; 14 and the MIL (check engine light) had illuminated on the dash. Respondent's inspected the 15 vehicle and stated, "Damn, you have a dead cylinder." Respondent's employee stated that the 16 17 vehicle's engine needed to be rebuilt. Respondent's employee stated that rebuilding the engine would include replacing the crankshaft and bearings, among other internal engine parts with new 18 parts. Respondent's employee gave a verbal estimate of approximately \$900, and then explained 19 20 that after taxes and charges for disposal of all fluids, the total cost would be approximately \$1,040.00. The operator authorized the work and left the facility. 21

22

23

32. Later the same day of April 18, 2014, the operator returned to the facility, paid a deposit of \$600, and received Invoice No.

33. On April 24, 2014, the operator received a text message from Respondent's 24 employee stating that the 1995 Honda was ready and that they had replaced a fuel injector for an 25 additional \$40.00. The operator had never authorized the replacement of a fuel injector nor did 26 he authorize an additional \$40.00 in repairs. 27

111 28

34. Later the same day of April 24, 2014, the operator returned to Respondent's 1 facility and spoke to two of Respondent's employees. They explained that Respondent's facility 2 had, "rebuilt the engine and replaced one of the fuel injectors." One of Respondent's employees 3 stated to the operator that the defective fuel injector was the cause of the burnt valve. The 4 operator asked for the burnt valve but was told that it was left at the machine shop that serviced 5 the cylinder head. The operator paid the balance of \$482.00. The operator then asked 6 7 Respondent's employees to describe exactly what was performed to the vehicle's engine as part of the rebuilding process. Respondent's employee replied, "We rebuilt the entire engine and 8 replaced the vehicle's water pump, timing belt among other internal engine parts with new 9 parts." The operator then asked about Respondent's warranty on rebuilt engines. Respondent's 10 11 employee stated that the rebuilt engine carried a one (1) year warranty. 35. On or about April 24, 2014, the Bureau inspected the vehicle and determined that 12 the facility had performed unnecessary repairs, as the only repair necessary to correct the 13 vehicle's rough running condition and illuminated MIL would have been to replace the number 14 15 one fuel injector. In addition, the facility did not replace all bearings, the timing belt, and four (4) spark plugs as stated, invoiced, and/or charged. The facility also charged for replacement of 16 a water pump and thermostat when in fact they were not needed. 17 18 FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Untrue or Misleading Statements) 19 36. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code 20 section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which it 21 knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as 22 23 follows: Respondent represented to the Bureau's operator that an engine rebuild 24 a. was needed to repair the 1995 Honda. This statement was false as the only needed repair was 25 replacement of the number one fuel injector. 26 111 . 27 28 III

12

1	b. Respondent represented to the Bureau's operator that the facility replaced
2	all the bearings, the timing belt, and the spark plugs as part of the engine rebuild. This statement
3	was false as Respondent did not replace these parts, as stated, invoiced, and charged.
4	c. Respondent represented to the Bureau's operator that the facility would
5	need to replace the 1995 Honda's crankshaft as part of the engine rebuild. This statement was
6	false as Respondent did not need to replace the vehicle crankshaft, and in fact, did not replace
[.] 7	this part.
8	d. Respondent represented to the Bureau's operator that the facility replaced
9	a fuel injector on the 1995 Honda because one of the engine valves was burnt and had a hole in
10	it, and that the defective fuel injector was the cause of the burnt valve.
11	SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
12	(Fraud)
13	37. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7,
14	subdivision (a)(4), in that Respondent committed acts constituting fraud, as follows:
15	a. Respondent charged the operator \$1,040.00 for an engine rebuild which
16	was not needed. The only repair required was replacement of the number one fuel injector.
17	b. Respondent charged the Bureau's operator for replacing all the bearings,
18	the timing belt, and the spark plugs on the 1995 Honda. In fact, these parts were not replaced on
19	the vehicle.
20	c. Respondent charged the Bureau's operator for replacement of a water
21	pump and thermostat when in fact these parts did not need replacing.
22	SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
23	(Violations of the Code)
24	38. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7,
25	subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed to comply with provisions of that Code in the
26	following material respects:
27	a. <u>Section 9884.8</u> : Respondent failed to record on an invoice and describe all
28	service work done and parts supplied in its repair of the Bureau's 1995 Honda.
	13
	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: HONDA ACURA SPECIALIST; BUNTHOEUN NAMLONG, OWNER

b. Section 9884.9, subdivision (a): Respondent failed to provide the 1 Bureau's operator with an itemized written estimate for all parts and labor for the automotive 2 repairs on the 1995 Honda. 3 **EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE** 4 (Violations of Regulations) 5 39. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, 6 subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed to comply with provisions of title 16 of the 7 8 California Code of Regulations in a material respect, as follows: Section 3353, subdivision (a): Respondent failed to provide the Bureau's 9 a. operator with a written estimate for parts and labor for the work on the 1995 Honda. 10 b. Section 3356, subdivision (a)(1): Respondent failed to provide the 11 Bureau's operator an invoice for service and repair work performed, and parts supplied, as 12 provided for in Code Section 9884.8, which shows the automotive repair dealer's registration 13 number and the corresponding business name and address as shown in the Bureau's records. 14 Section 3356, subdivision (a)(2)(A): Respondent failed to provide the 15 c. Bureau's operator an invoice for service and repair work performed, and parts supplied, as 16 provided for in Code Section 9884.8, which separately lists, describes and identifies all of the 17 service and repair work performed, including all diagnostic and warranty work, and the price for 18 each described service and repair. 19 d. Section 3356, subdivision (a)(2)(B): Respondent failed to provide the 20 Bureau's operator an invoice for service and repair work performed, and parts supplied, as 21 provided for in Code Section 9884.8, which separately lists, describes and identifies each part 22 supplied, in such a manner that the customer can understand what was purchased, and the price 23 for each described part, whether new, used, reconditioned, rebuilt, or an OEM crash part, or a 24 non-OEM aftermarket crash part. 25 Section 3356, subdivision (a)(2)(C): Respondent failed to record in any e. 26 invoice the subtotal price for all service and repair work performed on the Bureau's 1995 Honda. 27 111 28 14

1f.Section 3356, subdivision (a)(2)(D): Respondent failed to record in any2invoice the subtotal price for all parts supplied, not including taxes, for the Bureau's 19953Honda.

g. <u>Section 3356, subdivision (a)(2)(E)</u>: Respondent failed to record in any
invoice the applicable sales tax for part supplied for the Bureau's 1995 Honda.

h. <u>Section 3356.1</u>: Respondent failed to show their Environmental Protection
Agency identification number on the estimate and/or invoice when charging the Bureau's
operator for toxic waste disposal.

9 i. <u>Section 3371</u>: Respondent made untrue or misleading statements that were
10 known to be false or misleading, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known to
11 be false or misleading when it claimed payment for parts that have not been replaced.

j. <u>Section 3373</u>: Respondent charged the Bureau's operator \$1,040.00 for
rebuilding the engine, and charged for items that were not replaced, including all the bearings,
the timing belt, and the spark plugs that were not performed. This caused the invoice to be false
or misleading, thereby intending to mislead or deceive the Bureau's operator into believing that
the vehicle's bearings, timing belt, and spark plugs had been replaced.

k. <u>Section 3376</u>: Respondent failed to fully disclose the terms and conditions
of its warranty as required. The invoice provided to the Bureau's operator states, in part, "365
DAY WARRANTY ON ALL PARTS PARTS LISTED INSTALLED INTO ENGINE /
VEHICLE ONLY . . ." Because Respondent did not itemize the parts on the invoice, it is
unclear what Respondent's warranty covers.

22

CONSUMER COMPLAINT (PIMENTEL): 1994 TOYOTA CAMRY

40. On October 21, 2014, the Bureau received a complaint from Anthony Delgado
(Delgado) and Alfonso Pimentel (Pimentel) regarding work performed by Respondent on
Pimentel's 1994 Toyota Camry. Re-inspection by the Bureau, in reference to worked performed
by Respondent between October 6, 2014 and October 18, 2014, found Respondent defrauded
consumer Pimentel by charging him for repair work that was not performed and for parts that
were not provided. Upon completion of the repairs by Respondent, the vehicle's engine oil

15

pressure switch was loose and leaked oil; the vehicle's oil level was low; there appeared to be no 1 coolant in the radiator; the spark plugs were not replaced with new ones; and the vehicle was 2 inoperable as the transmission had failed. The Bureau's investigation revealed that Respondent's 3 facility was operating with a delinquent registration, as the registration had expired on May 31, 4 2014, and remained expired throughout the time Respondent's facility worked on Pimentel's 5 vehicle. The Bureau's investigation revealed that Respondent's facility did not complete the 6 repairs on Pimentel's vehicle as invoiced and paid for. 7 NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 8 (Delinquent Registration) 9 41. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code 10 section 9884.6, subdivision (a), in that Respondent's Automotive Repair Dealer registration 11 expired on May 31, 2014, and was not renewed. On or about October 6, 2014, Respondent's 12 facility contracted with Pimentel to rebuild his vehicle's engine and paid Respondent \$1,100.00. 13 TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE 14 (Untrue or Misleading Statements) 15 42. Respondent's registration is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code 16 section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which it 17 18 knew, or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows: 19 On or about October 6, 2014, Respondent represented to Pimentel 20 a. and Delgado that as part of the engine rebuild, the facility would replace the vehicle's 21 spark plugs with new ones, as invoiced. This statement was false as the spark plugs 22 installed after the engine rebuild were not new. 23 On or about October 6, 2014, Respondent represented to Pimentel b. 24 and Delgado that as part of the engine rebuild, the facility would have the engine block 25 and cylinder head would be serviced by a machine shop. This statement was false 26 because an employee of Respondent's facility later informed the Bureau that only the 27 engine's cylinder head was sent to a machine shop. 28 16

1	ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Fraud)
3	43. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7,
4	subdivision (a)(4), in that Respondent committed acts constituting fraud, as follows:
5	a. Respondent charged Pimentel \$1,100.00 for an engine rebuild, which was
6	to include replacement of the spark plugs. In fact, Respondent did not replace the vehicle's spark
7	plugs with new ones.
8	b. Respondent charged Pimentel \$1,100.00 for an engine rebuild, which was
9	to include having the engine block and cylinder head serviced by a machine shop. In fact,
10	Respondent failed to have the engine block serviced by a machine shop.
11	TWELFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
12	(Violations of the Code)
13	44. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7,
14	subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed to comply with provisions of that Code in the
15	following material respects:
16	a. <u>Section 9884.8</u> : Respondent failed to record on an invoice and describe all
17	service work done and parts supplied in its repair of Pimentel's 1994 Toyota Camry.
18	b. <u>Section 9884.9, subdivision (a)</u> : Respondent failed to provide Pimentel
19	with an itemized written estimate for all parts and labor for the automotive repairs on his 1994
20	Toyota Camry.
21	c. <u>Section 9884.11</u> : Respondent failed to maintain records that are required
22	by regulations and failed to make available to the Bureau for inspection all the records pertaining
23	to Pimentel's vehicle repairs upon the Bureau's request.
24	d. <u>Section 9884.17</u> : Respondent failed to display an official Automotive
25	Repair Dealer sign in a place and manner conspicuous to the public.
26	
27	111
28	111
	. 17
	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: HONDA ACURA SPECIALIST; BUNTHOEUN NAMLONG, OWNER

£

, j

.

. 1	THIRTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE
2	(Violations of Regulations)
3	45. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7,
4	subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed to comply with provisions of title 16 of the
5	California Code of Regulations in a material respect, as follows:
6	a. <u>Section 3351.3, subdivision (a)(1)</u> : Respondent failed to display its
7	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration certificate as required.
8	b. Section 3351.3, subdivision (a)(2): Respondent failed to display an
9	official Automotive Repair Dealer Registration sign, as required.
10	c. <u>Section 3353, subdivision (a)</u> : Respondent failed to provide Pimentel
11	with a written estimate for parts and labor for the work on his 1994 Toyota Camry.
12	d. <u>Section 3356, subdivision (a)(1)</u> : Respondent failed to provide Pimentel
13	an invoice for service and repair work performed, and parts supplied, as provided for in Code
14	Section 9884.8, which shows the automotive repair dealer's registration number and the
15	corresponding business name and address as shown in the Bureau's records.
16	e. <u>Section 3356, subdivision (a)(2)(A)</u> : Respondent failed to provide
17	Pimentel an invoice for service and repair work performed, and parts supplied, as provided for in
18	Code Section 9884.8, which separately lists, describes and identifies all of the service and repair
19	work performed, including all diagnostic and warranty work, and the price for each described
20	service and repair.
21	f. <u>Section 3356, subdivision (a)(2)(B)</u> : Respondent failed to provide
22	Pimentel an invoice for service and repair work performed, and parts supplied, as provided for in
23	Code Section 9884.8, which separately lists, describes and identifies each part supplied, in such a
24	manner that the customer can understand what was purchased, and the price for each described
25	part, whether new, used, reconditioned, rebuilt, or an OEM crash part, or a non-OEM aftermarket
26	crash part.
27	111
28	111
	18
	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: HONDA ACURA SPECIALIST; BUNTHOEUN NAMLONG, OWNER

g. <u>Section 3356, subdivision (a)(2)(C)</u>: Respondent failed to record in any
 invoice the subtotal price for all service and repair work performed on Pimentel's 1994 Toyota
 Camry.

h. <u>Section 3356, subdivision (a)(2)(D)</u>: Respondent failed to record in any
invoice the subtotal price for all parts supplied, not including taxes, for Pimentel's 1994 Toyota
Camry.

7 i. <u>Section 3356, subdivision (a)(2)(E)</u>: Respondent failed to record in any
8 invoice the applicable sales tax for part supplied for Pimentel's 1994 Toyota Camry.

9 j. <u>Section 3356.1</u>: Respondent failed to show their Environmental Protection
10 Agency identification number on the estimate and/or invoice when charging Pimentel for toxic
11 waste disposal.

k. <u>Section 3358, subdivision (c)</u>: Respondent failed to provide all records
pertaining to Pimentel's vehicle repairs upon the Bureau's request.

14 1. Section 3371: Respondent made untrue or misleading statements that were
 15 known to be false or misleading, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known to
 16 be false or misleading when Respondent's employee represented to Pimentel that the engine
 17 block and cylinder head would be serviced by a machine shop when, in fact, only the cylinder
 18 head was serviced.

m. <u>Section 3373</u>: Respondent charged Pimentel \$1,100.00 for an engine
rebuild, which included replacing the spark plugs. Respondent failed to replace the spark plugs,
which caused the invoice to be false or misleading, thereby misleading or deceiving Pimentel
into believing his vehicle's spark plugs had been replaced.

n. <u>Section 3376</u>: Respondent failed to fully disclose the terms and conditions
of its warranty as required. The invoice provided to Pimentel states, in part, "365 DAY
WARRANTY ON ALL PARTS PARTS LISTED INSTALLED INTO ENGINE / VEHICLE
ONLY . . ." Because Respondent did not itemize the parts on the invoice, it is unclear what
Respondent's warranty covers.
///

suspend,
this state by
that said
e laws and
s herein
lecision:
272942, issued
pay the Bureau
f this case,
ber.

,

7