COMPLAINT INVESTIGATION PROCESS (CASE STUDY)

DISCLAIMER NOTICE: The following is an accessible outline of the Complaint Investigation Process (Case Study) presentation provided at the BAR Advisory Group meeting on October 21, 2021. A webcast recording of the presentation is also available on the BAR Advisory Group page at <u>www.bar.ca.gov</u>.

Slide 1: Complaint Investigation Process (Case Study)

Presented by Rob Wright and Derek Bryant, Field Operations Branch at the BAR Advisory Group Meeting October 21, 2021.

Slide 2: Complaint Expectations

(Screenshot of BAR's online complaint form)

- BAR complaint investigation steps:
 - Consumer files complaint
 - Contact consumer, collect supporting documents
 - Contact repair dealer, interview, collect records
 - Automotive Repair Act compliance analysis
 - Recommend resolution
 - Create report

Slide 3: Documentation Workflow

(Graphic demonstrating the documentation workflow)

- Estimate
- Authorization
- Diagnosis or work
- Revision/Additional
- Revised Estimate
- Additional Authorization
- Diagnosis or Work
- Invoice

Slide 4: Estimate and Authorization

(Image of a pyramid showing the progression of estimates from the first estimate, second estimate, and so on until the invoice can be generated)

"The automotive repair dealer shall give to the customer a written estimated price for labor and parts necessary for a specific job...No work shall be done and no charges shall accrue before authorization to proceed is obtained from the customer" B&P section 9984.9(a)."

Slide 5: Setting and Meeting Customer Expectations

- Setting
 - Repairer intentions should <u>match</u> customer expectations
 - Repairer sets customer expectations through initial estimate and revisions
 - Specific job
 - Specific price
 - Customer authorization
- Meeting
 - o <u>Don't</u> exceed estimated and authorized work without customer authorization
 - <u>Do</u> only estimated and authorized repairs
 - Explain repairs on invoice

<u>Slide 6: Recording Additional Authorization</u> (Graphic detailing documenting additional authorization)

- Written (Example: In-person)
 - Customer Signature
 - o Date
- Oral (Example: Phone Calls)
 - Customer Name
 - o Date
 - Phone Number Called
 - o **Reason**
 - Additional Cost
 - o Total Revised Cost
- Electronic (Examples: Text Message, Email, Fax)
 - Customer Name
 - o Date
 - o Time
 - Phone Number/Email
 - o **Reason**
 - Additional Cost
 - o Total Revised Cost

<u>Slide 7: Unusual Circumstances Authorization</u> (Graphic detailing unusual circumstances authorization)

- Oral
 - o Customer Name
 - o Date
 - o Time
 - o Phone Number
- Electronic
 - o Customer Name
 - o Date
 - o Time
 - Phone Number/Email

Slide 8: Teardown Estimate Elements

- Odometer
- Customer-requested repairs
- Specific job [area/component(s) to be torn down]
- Specific price
 - Includes reassembly price
 - o Includes new gaskets, seals, O-rings, clips, etc. destroyed during disassembly
- Statement if you cannot reassemble (if applicable)
- Number of days to reassemble (if customer declines)
- Unique identifier
- Sublet Disclosure (if applicable)

Slide 9: Complaint Case Study (1 of 8)

- Vehicle
 - 2012 Jeep Wrangler, leaking differential pinion seal
- Consumer allegations
 - Repair facility performed unauthorized repairs
 - Invoice exceeded estimate by \$400.00

Slide 10: Complaint Case Study (2 of 8)

- Repair dealer response
 - Repair work authorized by "Early Bird" drop-off sheet
 - o Additional repairs necessary to complete job
 - Consumer implied authorization by paying invoice

Slide 11: Complaint Case Study (3 of 8)

BAR Findings and Observations

- No unusual circumstances authorization B&P §9884.9, CCR §3353.2
- No specific job B&P §9884.9, CCR §3353(a)
- Incomplete teardown estimate B&P §9884.9, CCR §3353(c)(1)
- Incomplete additional authorization B&P §9884.9, CCR §3354(a)(1)
- Parts receipts not provided B&P §9884.11, CCR §3358

Slide 12: Complaint Case Study (4 of 8)

(Image depicting a sample "Early Bird" drop off notice)

• Early Bird notices do not comply with estimate/authorization requirements.

Slide 13: Complaint Case Study (5 of 8)

(Two images depicting missing information on an estimate)

- Document represented as estimate provided by repair facility.
 - No specific job to be performed.
 - Missing customer phone authorization details for unusual circumstances.

Slide 14. Complaint Case Study (6 of 8)

(Two images depicting additional missing documentation on invoice)

- Document represented as invoice provided by repair facility.
 - Both authorizations missing increased dollar amount.
 - Dates and times created a misleading record. "Original Approval" was actually the last additional authorization.
 - Indications of teardown estimate but missing required elements.

Slide 15: Complaint Case Study (7 of 8)

- BAR Conclusion
 - Consumer allegations were substantiated
 - Repair facility failed to properly record authorization
- BAR Recommendation
 - Based on the failure to comply with B&P §9884.9 and CCR §3353.2, repair dealer not entitled to \$2,002.52.
- B&P §9882.5 (BAR authority)
 - The director shall on his or her own initiative or in response to complaints, investigate on a continuous basis and gather evidence of violations of this chapter... The director may suggest measure that, in the director's judgement, would compensate for any damages suffered as a result of an alleged violation.

Slide 16: Complaint Case Study (8 of 8)

- Repair Dealer Response
 - Offered to refund consumer \$400.00
 - Promised to correct their estimate and authorization practices
- Outcome
 - Consumer accepted the refund offer
 - Repair facility educated by BAR on estimate and authorization requirements

Slide 17: Questions and Comments

Submit future questions and/or comments to:

Rob Wright

Bureau of Automotive Repair 1450 Iowa Avenue Riverside, CA 92507 Phone: (951) 782-4250 Email: Robert.Wright@dca.ca.gov

Derek Bryant

Bureau of Automotive Repair 7130 N Marks Avenue Fresno, CA 93711 Phone: (559) 445-5015 Email: <u>Derek.Bryant@dca.ca.gov</u>