1	KAMALA D. HARRIS		
2	Attorney General of California KENT D. HARRIS		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General GEOFFREY S. ALLEN		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 193338		
5	1300 I Street, Suite 125 P.O. Box 944255		
6 7	Sacramento, CA 94244-2550 Telephone: (916) 324-5341 Facsimile: (916) 327-8643 Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE T	THE	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALL	IFORNIA	
11		on/usee	
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 77/14-55	
13	COMPLETE AUTO REPAIR & GLASS ALBERT ANVIEH, OWNER		
14	1253 North Golden State Blvd. Turlock, CA 95380	ACCUSATION	
15	Automotive Repair Dealer Reg. No. ARD 254679		
16	Respondent.		
17			
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	<u>PARTIE</u>	<u>S</u>	
20	1. Patrick Dorais ("Complainant") brings th	is Accusation solely in his official capacity	
21	as the Chief of the Bureau of Automotive Repair, Dep	partment of Consumer Affairs ("Bureau").	
22	2. On or about May 1, 2008, the Director of	Consumer Affairs ("Director") issued	
23	Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARI	254679 to Albert Anvieh ("Respondent"),	
24	owner of Complete Auto Repair & Glass. Responder	nt's automotive repair dealer registration	
25	expired on January 31, 2013.		
26	JURISDICT	<u>'ION</u>	
27	3. Business and Professions Code ("Code")	section 9884.7 provides that the Director	
28	may revoke an automotive repair dealer registration.		
	1		

4. Code section 9884.13 provides, in pertinent part, that the expiration of a valid registration shall not deprive the Director of jurisdiction to proceed with a disciplinary proceeding against an automotive repair dealer or to render a decision temporarily or permanently invalidating (suspending or revoking) a registration.

STATUTORY AND REGULATORY PROVISIONS

- 5. Code section 9884.7 states, in pertinent part:
- (a) The director, where the automotive repair dealer cannot show there was a bona fide error, may deny, suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration of an automotive repair dealer for any of the following acts or omissions related to the conduct of the business of the automotive repair dealer, which are done by the automotive repair dealer or any automotive technician, employee, partner, officer, or member of the automotive repair dealer.
- (1) Making or authorizing in any manner or by any means whatever any statement written or oral which is untrue or misleading, and which is known, or which by the exercise of reasonable care should be known, to be untrue or misleading.
- (2) Causing or allowing a customer to sign any work order which does not state the repairs requested by the customer or the automobile's odometer reading at the time of repair.
- (3) Failing or refusing to give to a customer a copy of any document requiring his or her signature, as soon as the customer signs the document.
 - (4) Any other conduct that constitutes fraud.

(6) Failure in any material respect to comply with the provisions of this chapter or regulations adopted pursuant to it.

(7) Any willful departure from or disregard of accepted trade standards for good and workmanlike repair in any material respect, which is prejudicial to another without consent of the owner or his or her duly authorized representative.

(9) Having repair work done by someone other than the dealer or his or her employees without the knowledge or consent of the customer unless the dealer can demonstrate that the customer could not reasonably have been notified . . .

6. Code section 9884.6, subdivision (a), states that it is unlawful for any person to be an automotive repair dealer unless that person has registered in accordance with this chapter and unless that registration is currently valid.

///

7. Code section 9884.9 states, in pertinent part:

The automotive repair dealer shall give to the customer a written estimated price for labor and parts necessary for a specific job. No work shall be done and no charges shall accrue before authorization to proceed is obtained from the customer. No charge shall be made for work done or parts supplied in excess of the estimated price without the oral or written consent of the customer that shall be obtained at some time after it is determined that the estimated price is insufficient and before the work not estimated is done or the parts not estimated are supplied. Written consent or authorization for an increase in the original estimated price may be provided by electronic mail or facsimile transmission from the customer. The bureau may specify in regulation the procedures to be followed by an automotive repair dealer when an authorization or consent for an increase in the original estimated price is provided by electronic mail or facsimile transmission. If that consent is oral, the dealer shall make a notation on the work order of the date, time, name of person authorizing the additional repairs and telephone number called, if any, together with a specification of the additional parts and labor and the total additional cost . . .

- (b) The automotive repair dealer shall include with the written estimated price a statement of any automotive repair service which, if required to be done, will be done by someone other than the dealer or his employees. No service shall be done by other than the dealer or his employees without the consent of the customer, unless the customer cannot reasonably be notified. The dealer shall be responsible, in any case, for any such service in the same manner as if he or his employees had done the service . . .
- 8. Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), states, in pertinent part, that the Director may suspend, revoke, or place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by an automotive repair dealer upon a finding that the automotive repair dealer has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of the laws and regulations pertaining to an automotive repair dealer.
 - 9. Code section 22, subdivision (a), states:

"Board" as used in any provision of this Code, refers to the board in which the administration of the provision is vested, and unless otherwise expressly provided, shall include "bureau," "commission," "committee," "department," "division," "examining committee," "program," and "agency."

10. Code section 477, subdivision (b), states, in pertinent part, that a "license" includes "registration" and "certificate."

25 || ///

26 | ///

27 || ,

28 || -

1	11. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section ("Regulation") 3356 states, in	
2	pertinent part:	
3	(a) All invoices for service and repair work performed, and parts	
4	supplied, as provided for in Section 9884.8 of the Business and Professions Code, shall comply with the following:	
5	(1) The invoice shall show the automotive repair dealer's registration	
6	number	
7	(2) The invoice shall separately list, describe and identify all of the following:	
8	(A) All service and repair work performed, including all diagnostic and warranty work, and the price for each described service and repair.	
9		
10	(B) Each part supplied, in such a manner that the customer can understand what was purchased, and the price for each described part	
11	12. Regulation 3366 states, in pertinent part:	
12	(a) Except as provided in subsection (b) of this section, any automotive	
13	repair dealer that advertises or performs, directly or through a sublet contractor, automotive air conditioning work and uses the words service, inspection, diagnosis,	
14	top off, performance check or any expression or term of like meaning in any form of advertising or on a written estimate or invoice shall include and perform all of the following procedures as part of that air conditioning work:	
15		
16	(15) High and low side system operating pressures, as applicable, have	
17	been measured and recorded on the final invoice; and,	
18	(16) The center air distribution outlet temperature has been measured and recorded on the final invoice.	
19	(b) Whenever the automotive air conditioning work being advertised or	
20	performed does not involve opening the refrigerant portion of the air conditioning system, refrigerant evacuation, or full or partial refrigerant recharge, the procedures	
21	specified in subsection (a) need be performed only to the extent required by accepted trade standards.	
22		
23	13. Regulation 3373 states:	
24	No automotive repair dealer or individual in charge shall, in filling out an	
25	estimate, invoice, or work order, or record required to be maintained by section 3340.15(f) of this chapter, withhold therefrom or insert therein any statement or	
26	information which will cause any such document to be false or misleading, or where the tendency or effect thereby would be to mislead or deceive customers, prospective	
27	customers, or the public.	

14. Regulation 3374 states that "[n]o dealer shall advertise, represent, or in any manner imply that a used, rebuilt or reconditioned part or component is new unless such part and all of the parts of any component are in fact new."

COST RECOVERY

15. Code section 125.3 provides, in pertinent part, that a Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.

CONSUMER COMPLAINT (GREGORY): 1996 VOLVO 850

- 16. In or about June 2012, Susan Gregory ("Gregory") filed a complaint with the Bureau, alleging that Respondent's facility failed to properly repair the air conditioning ("A/C") system on her vehicle, a 1996 Volvo 850. Gregory had taken the vehicle to the facility due to a problem with the A/C. The facility filled the A/C system with refrigerant, but it leaked out of the vehicle. Gregory returned the vehicle to the facility at least twice, but they could not resolve the problem. The facility eventually replaced the evaporative core to correct the leak. Gregory alleged that the A/C system did not work correctly following the repairs and that the facility failed to repair the driver side door lock and odometer as requested. Gregory provided the Bureau with copies of invoices she had received from the facility, including an invoice dated June 16, 2012.
- 17. On or about August 2, 2012, a representative of the Bureau went to the facility and inquired about the repairs performed on the vehicle. Respondent told the representative that they filled the A/C system with Freon at least twice and checked for leaks, but Gregory continued having problems with the A/C. The facility told Gregory that the vehicle needed a compressor, then found out later that it needed an evaporative core instead. Respondent stated that they also worked on the driver's door, but was not sure whether it had been repaired. The representative showed Respondent the invoices provided by Gregory, including the June 16, 2012 invoice. The representative asked Respondent about the used instrument cluster listed on the invoice. Respondent told the representative that they did not replace the cluster and that Gregory was supposed to return the vehicle to get the part installed. The representative asked to see the used

2.7

cluster. Respondent told the representative that he did not have the cluster and was waiting for Gregory to return to the facility before ordering the part. Later that same day, the representative spoke with Gregory regarding the statement made by Respondent. Gregory denied that she had been told to return to the facility to get the instrument cluster installed on the vehicle.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Untrue or Misleading Statements)

- 18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which he knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows:
- a. Respondent represented on the invoice dated June 16, 2012, that a used instrument cluster had been installed on Gregory's 1996 Volvo 850 when, in fact, that part had not been installed on the vehicle.
- b. Respondent represented on the invoice dated June 16, 2012, that the driver side door lock on Gregory's 1996 Volvo 850 had been repaired when, in fact, that part had not been repaired on the vehicle.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Comply with Regulations)

- 19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed to comply with Regulation 3356 in the following material respects:
- a. <u>Subdivision (a)(1)</u>: Respondent failed to show his automotive repair dealer registration number on the invoice dated June 16, 2012.
- b. <u>Subdivisions (a)(2)(A) and (B)</u>: Respondent failed to list, describe or identify on the invoice dated June 16, 2012 all repairs performed and each part supplied on Gregory's 1996 Volvo 850 in that Respondent indicated that an A/C service was performed, but did not list or specify the labor and/or parts that were included in the service. Further, Respondent failed to list the repair of the instrument cluster in the labor section of the invoice, and failed to list the price for each service or repair performed on the vehicle.

CONSUMER COMPLAINT (DAVIS): 1999 MERCEDES BENZ ML320

- 20. In or about May 2012, Lorna Davis ("L. Davis") took her 1999 Mercedes Benz ML320 to Respondent's facility to have the A/C system inspected because it was not operating.
- 21. In or about July 2012, L. Davis was told that vehicle had been repaired. L. Davis went to the facility to pick up the vehicle and paid them \$400 in cash. While attempting to leave, the vehicle stalled in the driveway. Respondent told L. Davis to leave the vehicle for repair.
- 22. On or about August 19, 2012, Respondent told L. Davis that the transmission electronic control module was defective and needed replacement. That same day, L. Davis signed a work order, but was not given a copy, and paid the facility \$200 in cash.
- 23. In or about October 2012, L. Davis and her husband, Erin Davis ("E. Davis"), received a call from Modesto European located in Modesto, California, requesting \$833.40 in payment for transmission repairs they had performed on the vehicle. The Davises learned that Respondent had towed their vehicle to Modesto European without their knowledge or consent. E. Davis called Respondent and was told that he would have to pay for half of the repairs. E. Davis told Respondent that he should not have to pay the bill as he had not authorized the work.
- 24. In or about November 2012, E. Davis went to Modesto European and paid them \$833 in order to get the vehicle returned to him. E. Davis authorized Modesto European to diagnose and repair the A/C system as Respondent had not resolved the problem with the A/C.
 - 25. In or about November 2012, E. Davis filed a complaint with the Bureau.
- 26. On or about December 20, 2012, E. Davis paid Modesto European \$914.53 for the repair of the A/C system.
- 27. On or about December 27, 2012, a representative of the Bureau made a field visit to Modesto European and spoke with Service Manager M. S. M. S. stated that Respondent wanted them to program a transmission control module he had installed on the vehicle. Modesto European found that the low range control module was defective, which was the actual problem with the vehicle. Respondent authorized \$833.40 in repairs as documented on Modesto European's Invoice No. 88033. M. S. stated that they made numerous attempts to get Respondent to pay the bill and eventually contacted the Davises.

/

2<u>2</u>

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unauthorized Sublet of Automotive Repair Service)

28. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(9), in that Respondent sublet the transmission repairs on the 1999 Mercedes Benz ML320 to Modesto European without the Davises' knowledge or consent.

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Provide Customer with Copy of Signed Document)

29. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(3), in that Respondent failed to provide L. Davis with a copy of the repair order as soon as she signed the document.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Code)

30. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed to comply with Code section 9884.9, subdivision (a), in a material respect, as follows: On or about August 19, 2012, Respondent failed to provide L. Davis with a written estimate for parts and labor necessary for a specific job.

UNDERCOVER OPERATION #1: 1993 HONDA

31. On February 4, 2013, an employee of the Bureau, acting in an undercover capacity ("operator"), took the Bureau's 1993 Honda to Respondent's facility. The #1 cylinder fuel injector resistor on the Bureau-documented vehicle was defective, causing the malfunction indicator light (MIL; also called the check engine light) to illuminate on the dashboard and a diagnostic trouble code to set in the engine control module (ECM). The operator met with a male individual and informed him that the vehicle was running rough and the check engine light was illuminated. The male told the operator that it would cost \$50 for a diagnosis and that the \$50 would be applied towards any repairs that were needed. The male asked the operator to wait about five minutes. Approximately 10 minutes later, the operator stated that she had to leave. The male told the operator that so far, there was a problem with the cylinder, spark plugs, and distributor, and that he would call her later with a definite diagnosis. The male had the operator

sign a repair order, but did not provide her with a copy. The operator paid the male \$50 and left the facility.

- 32. At approximately 1510 hours that same day, Albert from Complete Auto Repair & Glass left a voice mail message for the operator, requesting that she call him back. At approximately 1600 hours, the operator called the facility and spoke with a male, who informed her that the vehicle had computer electrical issues and that the total cost of the repairs would be \$475. The operator authorized the work. The male stated that he would get a used computer which was needed to correct the rough running problem and the check engine light on the vehicle.
- 33. On February 6, 2013, the operator returned to the facility to retrieve the vehicle and met with a male, who identified himself as "Albert". Albert told the operator that he could not find the repair order, so he wrote a new repair order in her presence. The operator signed the repair order, paid Albert \$475 in cash, and received an invoice copy.
- 34. On February 8, 2013, the Bureau inspected the vehicle using the invoice for comparison. The Bureau found that the #1 cylinder fuel injector resistor had been replaced; however, the facility failed to repair the vehicle as invoiced and had not performed the repairs to accepted trade standards.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Untrue or Misleading Statements)

- 35. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(1), in that Respondent made or authorized statements which he knew or in the exercise of reasonable care should have known to be untrue or misleading, as follows:
- a. Respondent or his agent, employee, and/or representative represented to the operator that the Bureau's 1993 Honda had computer electrical issues and that he would obtain a used computer for the vehicle, which was needed to correct the rough running problem and check engine light. In fact, the only repair needed on the vehicle was the replacement of the defective #1 cylinder fuel injector resistor.
- b. Respondent represented on the invoice that an "igainter" was installed on the Bureau's 1993 Honda. In fact, that part does not exist on the vehicle.

1	c. Respondent represented on the invoice that a "modul" was replaced on the Bureau's		
2	1993 Honda. In fact, the ECM was not replaced on the vehicle.		
3	SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
4	(Failure to Provide Customer with Copy of Signed Document)		
5	36. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7,		
6	subdivision (a)(3), in that on or about February 4, 2013, Respondent or his agent, employee		
7	and/or representative failed to provide the operator with a copy of the repair order as soon as sh		
8	signed the document.		
9	EIGHTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
10	(Fraud)		
11	37. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7,		
12	subdivision (a)(4), in that Respondents committed acts constituting fraud, as follows:		
13	a. Respondent obtained payment from the operator for installing an "igainter" on the		
14	Bureau's 1993 Honda. In fact, that part does not exist on the vehicle.		
15	b. Respondent obtained payment from the operator for replacing a "modul" on the		
16	Bureau's 1993 Honda. In fact, the ECM was not replaced on the vehicle.		
17	NINTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
18	(Departure from Trade Standards)		
19	38. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7,		
20	subdivision (a)(7), in that Respondent willfully departed from or disregarded accepted trade		
21	standards for good and workmanlike repair without the consent of the owner or the owner's duly		
22	authorized representative, in a material respect, as follows: Respondent failed to erase the		
23	diagnostic trouble code from the ECM after repairing the Bureau's 1993 Honda.		
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	///		

TENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Code)

- 39. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed to comply with provisions of that Code in the following material respects:
- a. <u>9884.6, subdivision (a)</u>: Respondent performed automotive repairs on the Bureau's 1993 Honda when his automotive repair dealer registration was expired or invalid.
- b. <u>9884.9, subdivision (a)</u>: Respondent failed to provide the operator with a written estimate for the diagnosis of the running rough condition and the check engine light on the Bureau's 1993 Honda. Further, Respondent failed to document on the invoice the operator's authorization for the additional repairs on the vehicle, the installation of the used computer.

ELEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Regulations)

- 40. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed to comply with Regulation 3356, in the following material respects:
- a. <u>Subdivision (a)(1)</u>: Respondent failed to show his automotive repair dealer registration number on the invoice.
- b. <u>Subdivision (a)(2)(B)</u>: Respondent failed to list, describe or identify on the invoice each part supplied on the Bureau's 1993 Honda in such a manner that the customer (operator) can understand what was purchased, as set forth in subparagraph 37 (a) above.

UNDERCOVER OPERATION #2: 1998 TOYOTA

41. On April 10, 2013, an employee of the Bureau, acting in an undercover capacity ("operator"), took the Bureau's 1998 Toyota to Respondent's facility. The #4 cylinder fuel injector on the Bureau-documented vehicle was defective. The operator told a male individual that the vehicle was running rough and the check engine light was illuminated. The male started the vehicle and acknowledged that the check engine light was on. The operator asked the male if he could fix the problem and he said "yes". The male went with the operator into the office, then

///

had the operator write his name and telephone number on a business card. Another male individual walked into the office. The operator took a business card from the counter and saw the name "Albert" printed on the card. The operator asked the male individual if he was Albert and he said "yes". The operator asked Albert to call him when he found the problem with the vehicle. The operator left the facility.

- 42. At approximately 1025 hours that same day, the operator received a call from Albert, informing him that the vehicle had a misfire and needed a complete tune up. The operator authorized the work. Albert stated that the vehicle also needed a timing belt, water pump, and valve cover gaskets and that the total cost of the repairs would be \$700. Albert told the operator that he needed to come to the shop to sign certain paperwork and requested \$500 in advance for the repairs. Albert asked the operator if he wanted factory spark plugs, and the operator told him to install whatever he thought was best. Albert stated that factory spark plugs were better because they last 100,000 miles.
- 43. At approximately 1250 hours, the operator went to the facility. Albert told the operator that the vehicle had a misfire from a bad #4 injector and that the repairs would cost a total of \$800. Albert also stated that the timing belt replacement could wait, but he would still check it out and replace it if needed. Albert had the operator sign a repair order and gave him a copy. The operator paid Albert \$500 in cash and left the facility.
- 44. On April 12, 2013, at approximately 1040 hours, the operator returned to the facility. Albert told the operator that the repairs cost a total of \$360.44. Albert stated that the tune up and timing belt were not needed since the spark plugs were new and the timing belt was still good, and that he only replaced the fuel injector. The operator observed Albert cross out the descriptions tune up, timing belt, and water pump on the work order. Albert told the operator that he would give him a check for \$139.56, the amount remaining on the \$500 deposit. The operator stated that he could not take a check and requested cash. Albert told the operator that he did not have cash at the moment and asked him to return between 1500 and 1600 hours. The operator left the facility, but returned at approximately 1400 hours. Albert gave the operator \$140 in cash and

FIFTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Code)

- 49. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed to comply with provisions of that Code in the following material respects:
- a. <u>9884.6, subdivision (a)</u>: Respondent performed automotive repairs on the Bureau's
 1998 Toyota when his automotive repair dealer registration was expired or invalid.
- b. <u>9884.9, subdivision (a)</u>: Respondent failed to document on the invoice the operator's authorization for the additional repairs on the vehicle, the replacement of the #4 cylinder fuel injector.

SIXTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violations of the Regulations)

50. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(6), in that Respondent failed to comply with Regulation 3356, subdivision (a)(1), in a material respect, as follows: Respondent failed to show his automotive repair dealer registration number on the invoice.

UNDERCOVER OPERATION #3: 1997 CHEVROLET

51. On May 7, 2013, the Bureau employee involved in the first undercover operation ("operator") took the Bureau's 1997 Chevrolet to Respondent's facility. A defective A/C relay had been installed on the Bureau-documented vehicle, preventing the A/C system from operating. The operator met with a male individual and asked to speak with Albert. When Albert came inside the office area, the operator asked him if he remembered her from the computer repair he had performed on her Honda. Albert indicated that he remembered the operator. The operator told Albert that she had her daughter's vehicle and that her daughter had complained about the A/C system. Albert asked the operator if the A/C was blowing hot air, and the operator said yes. The operator asked Albert if he could check it out and he stated yes. The operator told Albert that a friend was coming to pick her up right away, and asked him if he could call and let her know what was wrong with the vehicle. Albert had the operator sign a work order. Albert had written

in the license plate number of the vehicle, but had not recorded the repair requested by the operator or any other information pertaining to the vehicle. Albert also did not give the operator a copy of the work order or a written estimate. The operator left the facility.

- 52. At approximately 1650 hours that same day, the operator called the facility and spoke with Albert. Albert told the operator that he had serviced the A/C system and repaired some electrical issues. Albert stated that the repairs cost a total of \$160, but offered to deduct \$20 from the price if she paid for the work in cash.
- 53. On May 8, 2013, the operator returned to the facility to retrieve the vehicle, paid Albert \$140 in cash, and received a yellow or invoice copy of the work order she had signed previously. That same day, the Bureau inspected the vehicle using the invoice for comparison and found that the defective A/C relay had been replaced on the vehicle, although that repair was not recorded on the invoice. The Bureau also found that the facility had performed unnecessary repairs on the vehicle, an A/C service and the addition of one pound of Freon to the A/C system.

SEVENTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Record Odometer Reading)

54. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(2), in that Respondent caused or allowed the operator to sign the work order which did not state the odometer reading of the Bureau's 1997 Chevrolet or the repairs requested by the operator, the check or diagnosis of the A/C system.

EIGHTEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Failure to Provide Customer with Copy of Signed Document)

55. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(3), in that Respondent failed to provide the operator with a copy of the work order as soon as she signed the document.

NINETEENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Departure from Trade Standards)

56. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (a)(7), in that Respondent willfully departed from or disregarded accepted trade

///

///

27

28

16

1	b. Subdivisions (a)(2)(A) and (B): Respondent failed to list, describe or identify on the	
2	invoice all repairs performed and each part supplied on the Bureau's 1997 Chevrolet, the	
3	replacement of the defective A/C relay.	
4	OTHER MATTERS	
5	59. Pursuant to Code section 9884.7, subdivision (c), the Director may suspend, revoke,	
6	or place on probation the registration for all places of business operated in this state by	
7	Respondent Albert Anvieh, owner of Complete Auto Repair & Glass, upon a finding that	
8	Respondent has, or is, engaged in a course of repeated and willful violations of the laws and	
9	regulations pertaining to an automotive repair dealer.	
10	PRAYER	
11	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,	
12	and that following the hearing, the Director of Consumer Affairs issue a decision:	
13	1. Revoking or suspending Automotive Repair Dealer Registration Number ARD	
14	254679, issued to Albert Anvieh, owner of Complete Auto Repair & Glass;	
15	2. Revoking or suspending any other automotive repair dealer registration issued to	
16	Albert Anvieh;	
17	3. Ordering Albert Anvieh, owner of Complete Auto Repair & Glass to pay the Director	
18	of Consumer Affairs the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case,	
19	pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 125.3;	
20	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.	
21	$0 \leq D \leq 0$	
22	DATED: April 7, 2014 Taluck Prince PATRICK DORAIS	
23	Chief	
24	Bureau of Automotive Repair Department of Consumer Affairs State of California	
25	Complainant	
26		
27		
28	SA2013113660	